

LINEAR PROGRAMMING PROBLEMS ON TIME SCALES

*Rasheed Al-Salih and Martin Bohner**

In this work, we study linear programming problems on time scales. This approach unifies discrete and continuous linear programming models and extends them to other cases “in between.” After a brief introduction to time scales, we formulate the primal as well as the dual time scales linear programming models. Next, we establish and prove the weak duality theorem and the optimality conditions theorem for arbitrary time scales, while the strong duality theorem is established for isolated time scales. Finally, examples are given in order to illustrate the effectiveness of the presented results.

1. INTRODUCTION

It is well known that discrete-time linear programming problems have numerous applications in areas such as portfolio optimization, crew scheduling, manufacturing, transportation, telecommunication, agriculture, and so on. Continuous-time linear programming problems were first studied by Bellman [3] as a bottleneck process. He established the weak duality theorem and optimality conditions. A computational approach has been presented by Bellman and Dreyfus [4]. The strong duality theorem was studied by Tyndall [27,28] and Levinson [24]. Grinold [21] has established strong duality without discretizing the continuous problem. A numerical solution to continuous-time linear programming was considered by Buie and Abraham [19]. Wen, Lur, and Lai [29] have presented an approximation approach to solve continuous-time problems.

* Corresponding author. Martin Bohner

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 34N05, 90C05, 90C39.

Keywords and Phrases. Time scales, primal and dual problem, weak duality theorem, optimality condition, strong duality theorem.

The theory of time scales, on the other hand, was first introduced by Stefan Hilger in 1988 in his PhD dissertation, see [22]. The purpose of this theory is to unify discrete and continuous analysis and to offer an extension to cases “in between”. Many applications in mathematical modelling exist for this theory, e.g., to optimal control [2, 15–18, 25], population biology [6], calculus of variations [5, 7, 10], and economics [1, 8, 11, 12, 26].

In this work, it is therefore meaningful to consider linear programming problems on arbitrary time scales \mathbb{T} as an extension of the continuous-time linear programming problems and to extend the results in [21, 24, 27–29] to arbitrary time scale. The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, some examples related to time scales calculus are given. In Section 3, the basic structures of the primal linear programming model as well as the dual model on time scales are formulated. The weak duality theorem and the optimality condition theorem are presented and proved in Section 4. Section 5 states and proves the strong duality theorem on isolated time scales. Examples are presented in Section 6 in order to demonstrate our theoretical results. In Section 7, some conclusions are given.

2. TIME SCALES CALCULUS

In this section, instead of introducing the basic definitions, derivative, and integral on time scales, we refer the reader to the monographs [9, 13, 14], in which comprehensive details and complete proofs are given. For readers not familiar with the time scales calculus, we give the following few examples. Throughout, \mathbb{T} is the time scale, σ is the forward jump operator, μ is the graininess, $f : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function, $f^\sigma = f \circ \sigma$ is the advance of f , f^Δ is the delta derivative of f , and $\int_a^b f(t)\Delta t$ is the time scales integral of f between $a, b \in \mathbb{T}$.

Example 2.1. If $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$, then

$$\sigma(t) = t, \quad \mu(t) \equiv 0, \quad f^\Delta(t) = f'(t) \quad \text{for } t \in \mathbb{T},$$

and

$$\int_a^b f(t)\Delta t = \int_a^b f(t)dt, \quad \text{where } a, b \in \mathbb{T} \text{ with } a < b,$$

is the usual Riemann integral from calculus.

Example 2.2. If $\mathbb{T} = \{t_k \in \mathbb{R} : k \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ with $t_k < t_{k+1}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ consists only of isolated points, then

$$\sigma(t_k) = t_{k+1}, \quad \mu(t_k) = t_{k+1} - t_k, \quad f^\Delta(t_k) = \frac{f(t_{k+1}) - f(t_k)}{t_{k+1} - t_k} \quad \text{for } k \in \mathbb{N}_0,$$

and

$$(2.1) \quad \int_{t_m}^{t_n} f(t)\Delta t = \sum_{k=m}^{n-1} \mu(t_k) f(t_k), \quad \text{where } m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \text{ with } m < n.$$

The examples in Section 6 are specific cases of Example 2.2 as follows.

Example 2.3. Let $h > 0$. If $\mathbb{T} = h\mathbb{Z} = \{hk : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, then

$$\sigma(t) = t + h, \quad \mu(t) \equiv h, \quad f^\Delta(t) = \frac{f(t+h) - f(t)}{h} \quad \text{for } t \in \mathbb{T},$$

and

$$\int_a^b f(t)\Delta t = h \sum_{k=\frac{a}{h}}^{\frac{b}{h}-1} f(kh), \quad \text{where } a, b \in \mathbb{T} \text{ with } a < b.$$

Example 2.4. If $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{Z}$, then

$$\sigma(t) = t + 1, \quad \mu(t) \equiv 1, \quad f^\Delta(t) = \Delta f(t) = f(t+1) - f(t) \quad \text{for } t \in \mathbb{T},$$

and

$$\int_a^b f(t)\Delta t = \sum_{k=a}^{b-1} f(k), \quad \text{where } a, b \in \mathbb{T} \text{ with } a < b.$$

Example 2.5. Let $q > 1$. If $\mathbb{T} = q^{\mathbb{N}_0} = \{q^n : n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$, then

$$\sigma(t) = qt, \quad \mu(t) = (q-1)t, \quad f^\Delta(t) = \frac{f(qt) - f(t)}{(q-1)t} \quad \text{for } t \in \mathbb{T},$$

and

$$\int_{q^m}^{q^n} f(t)\Delta t = (q-1) \sum_{k=m}^{n-1} q^k f(q^k), \quad \text{where } m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \text{ with } m < n.$$

3. PRIMAL AND DUAL MODELS

Throughout this paper, \mathbb{T} stands for a time scale, we assume $0 \in \mathbb{T}$, we let $T \in \mathbb{T}$, and we use \mathcal{I} to denote the time scales interval

$$\mathcal{I} = [0, T] \cap \mathbb{T}.$$

By E_k , we denote the space of all rd-continuous functions from \mathcal{I} into \mathbb{R}^k . We also put $E_k^\top = \{f : f^\top \in E_k\}$. The primal time scales linear programming model is formulated as

$$(P) \quad \begin{cases} \text{Maximize} & U(x) = \int_0^{\sigma(T)} f(t)x(t)\Delta t \\ \text{subject to} & B(t)x(t) \leq g(t) + \int_0^t K(t,s)x(s)\Delta s, \quad t \in \mathcal{I} \\ \text{and} & x \in E_n, \quad x(t) \geq 0, \quad t \in \mathcal{I}, \end{cases}$$

where $f \in E_n^\top$, $g \in E_m$, and B and K are rd-continuous matrix-valued functions of size $m \times n$. The dual time scales linear programming model is formulated as

$$(D) \quad \begin{cases} \text{Minimize} & V(z) = \int_0^{\sigma(T)} z(t)g(t)\Delta t \\ \text{subject to} & z(t)B(t) \geq f(t) + \int_{\sigma(t)}^{\sigma(T)} z(s)K(s,t)\Delta s, \quad t \in \mathcal{I} \\ \text{and} & z \in E_m^\top, \quad z(t) \geq 0, \quad t \in \mathcal{I}. \end{cases}$$

A feasible solution of (P) (or (D)) is any one that satisfies the given constraints. An optimal solution to (P) (or (D)) is a feasible solution with the largest (or smallest) objective function value.

4. WEAK DUALITY AND OPTIMALITY CONDITION

In this section, we state and prove the weak duality theorem and the optimality condition theorem. Based on the objective functions and the constraints in (P) and (D), we define the bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1$ on $E_m^\top \times E_m$ by

$$(4.2) \quad \langle z, g \rangle_1 = \int_0^{\sigma(T)} z(t)g(t)\Delta t,$$

the bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_2$ on $E_n^\top \times E_n$ by

$$(4.3) \quad \langle f, x \rangle_2 = \int_0^{\sigma(T)} f(t)x(t)\Delta t,$$

the linear operator $A : E_n \rightarrow E_m$ by

$$(4.4) \quad Ax(t) = B(t)x(t) - \int_0^t K(t,s)x(s)\Delta s,$$

and the linear operator $A' : E_m^\top \rightarrow E_n^\top$ by

$$(4.5) \quad A'z(t) = z(t)B(t) - \int_{\sigma(t)}^{\sigma(T)} z(s)K(s,t)\Delta s.$$

Using these operators, we can rewrite (P) and (D) as

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{cases} \text{Maximize} & \langle f, x \rangle_2 \\ \text{subject to} & Ax \leq g \quad \text{and} \quad x \geq 0 \end{cases}$$

and

$$(4.7) \quad \begin{cases} \text{Minimize} & \langle z, g \rangle_1 \\ \text{such that} & A'z \geq f \quad \text{and} \quad z \geq 0, \end{cases}$$

respectively.

Lemma 4.6. *We have*

$$(4.8) \quad \langle z, Ax \rangle_1 = \langle A'z, x \rangle_2.$$

Proof. Using standard properties of the time scales integral (see [13, Section 1.4]), we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \langle z, Ax \rangle_1 &\stackrel{(4.2)}{=} \int_0^{\sigma(T)} z(t)Ax(t)\Delta t \\ &\stackrel{(4.4)}{=} \int_0^{\sigma(T)} z(t) \left[B(t)x(t) - \int_0^t K(t,s)x(s)\Delta s \right] \Delta t \\ &= \int_0^{\sigma(T)} z(t)B(t)x(t)\Delta t - \int_0^{\sigma(T)} \int_0^t z(t)K(t,s)x(s)\Delta s\Delta t \\ &\stackrel{(*)}{=} \int_0^{\sigma(T)} z(s)B(s)x(s)\Delta s - \int_0^{\sigma(T)} \int_{\sigma(s)}^{\sigma(T)} z(t)K(t,s)x(s)\Delta t\Delta s \\ &= \int_0^{\sigma(T)} \left[z(s)B(s) - \int_{\sigma(s)}^{\sigma(T)} z(t)K(t,s)\Delta t \right] x(s)\Delta s \\ &\stackrel{(4.5)}{=} \int_0^{\sigma(T)} A'z(s)x(s)\Delta s \\ &\stackrel{(4.3)}{=} \langle A'z, x \rangle_2, \end{aligned}$$

where in (*) we have used the time scales change of integration order formula presented in [23, Lemma 1]. This shows (4.8) and completes the proof. \square

Theorem 4.7 (Weak Duality Theorem). *If x and z are arbitrary feasible solutions of (P) and (D), respectively, then $U(x) \leq V(z)$.*

Proof. By the constraints in (4.6) and (4.7), respectively, we have

$$(4.9) \quad Ax(t) \leq g(t) \quad \text{and} \quad A'z(t) \geq f(t) \quad \text{for } t \in \mathcal{I}.$$

Since $x(t)$ and $z(t)$ are nonnegative, we obtain

$$(4.10) \quad z(t)Ax(t) \leq z(t)g(t) \quad \text{for } t \in \mathcal{I}$$

and

$$(4.11) \quad A'z(t)x(t) \geq f(t)x(t) \quad \text{for } t \in \mathcal{I}.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} U(x) &\stackrel{(P)}{=} \int_0^{\sigma(T)} f(t)x(t)\Delta t \stackrel{(4.11)}{\leq} \int_0^{\sigma(T)} A'z(t)x(t)\Delta t \\ &\stackrel{(4.3)}{=} \langle A'z, x \rangle_2 \stackrel{(4.8)}{=} \langle z, Ax \rangle_1 \stackrel{(4.2)}{=} \int_0^{\sigma(T)} z(t)Ax(t)\Delta t \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

$$(5.12) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Maximize } U(x) = \sum_{k=0}^N \mu(t_k) f(t_k) x(t_k) \\ \text{subject to } x(t_k) \geq 0 \text{ for } k = 0, 1, \dots, N \\ \text{and } \mathcal{A} \begin{pmatrix} x(t_0) \\ x(t_1) \\ x(t_2) \\ \vdots \\ x(t_N) \end{pmatrix} \leq \begin{pmatrix} g(t_0) \\ g(t_1) \\ g(t_2) \\ \vdots \\ g(t_N) \end{pmatrix}, \end{array} \right.$$

where $x : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ and

$$\mathcal{A} = \begin{pmatrix} B(t_0) & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ -\mu(t_0)K(t_1, t_0) & B(t_1) & \cdots & 0 \\ -\mu(t_0)K(t_2, t_0) & -\mu(t_1)K(t_2, t_1) & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ -\mu(t_0)K(t_N, t_0) & -\mu(t_1)K(t_N, t_1) & \cdots & B(t_N) \end{pmatrix}.$$

By the standard strong duality theorem of discrete linear programming [20, Theorem 5.1 on page 58] applied to (5.12), there exists an optimal solution y^* of

$$(5.13) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Minimize } W(y) = \sum_{k=0}^N y(t_k) g(t_k) \\ \text{subject to } y(t_k) \geq 0 \text{ for } k = 0, 1, \dots, N \\ \text{and } \begin{pmatrix} y(t_0) & y(t_1) & y(t_2) & \cdots & y(t_N) \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{A} \\ \quad \geq \begin{pmatrix} \mu(t_0)f(t_0) & \mu(t_1)f(t_1) & \mu(t_2)f(t_2) & \cdots & \mu(t_N)f(t_N) \end{pmatrix}, \end{array} \right.$$

where $y^\top : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$, and we have

$$(5.14) \quad U(x^*) = W(y^*).$$

Now we put

$$(5.15) \quad z^*(t_k) = \frac{y^*(t_k)}{\mu(t_k)} \text{ for } k = 0, 1, \dots, N.$$

Using (5.15) in (5.14), we get

$$(5.16) \quad U(x^*) = W(y^*) = \sum_{k=0}^N y^*(t_k) g(t_k) = \sum_{k=0}^N \mu(t_k) z^*(t_k) g(t_k) = V(z^*).$$

Since y^* is feasible, it satisfies the nonnegativity constraints in (5.13), and thus (5.15) yields

$$(5.17) \quad z^*(t) \geq 0 \quad \text{for } t \in \mathcal{I},$$

Moreover, y^* also satisfies the other constraints in (5.13), and by writing them as $N + 1$ inequalities and dividing the first one by $\mu(t_0)$, the second one by $\mu(t_1)$, \dots , and the last one by $\mu(t_N)$, (5.15) gives

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} z^*(t_0)B(t_0) \geq f(t_0) + z^*(t_1)\mu(t_1)K(t_1, t_0) + \dots + z^*(t_N)\mu(t_N)K(t_N, t_0) \\ \qquad \qquad \qquad = f(t_0) + \int_{\sigma(t_0)}^{\sigma(t_N)} z^*(s)K(s, t_0)\Delta s, \\ z^*(t_1)B(t_1) \geq f(t_1) + z^*(t_2)\mu(t_2)K(t_2, t_1) + \dots + z^*(t_N)\mu(t_N)K(t_N, t_1) \\ \qquad \qquad \qquad = f(t_1) + \int_{\sigma(t_1)}^{\sigma(t_N)} z^*(s)K(s, t_1)\Delta s, \\ z^*(t_2)B(t_2) \geq f(t_2) + \dots + z^*(t_N)\mu(t_N)K(t_N, t_2) \\ \qquad \qquad \qquad = f(t_2) + \int_{\sigma(t_2)}^{\sigma(t_N)} z^*(s)K(s, t_2)\Delta s, \\ \qquad \qquad \qquad \vdots \\ z^*(t_N)B(t_N) \geq f(t_N) \\ \qquad \qquad \qquad = f(t_N) + \int_{\sigma(t_N)}^{\sigma(t_N)} z^*(s)K(s, t_N)\Delta s, \end{array} \right.$$

i.e.,

$$(5.18) \quad z^*(t)B(t) \geq f(t) + \int_{\sigma(t)}^{\sigma(T)} z(s)K(s, t)\Delta s \quad \text{for } t \in \mathcal{I}.$$

By (5.17) and (5.18), z^* is a feasible solution of (D). By (5.16), z^* is a feasible solution of (D) satisfying $V(z^*) = U(x^*)$. By the optimality condition, Theorem 4.8, we conclude that z^* is an optimal solution of (D). \square

6. EXAMPLES

In this section, three examples are given in order to illustrate our duality theorems on isolated time scales.

Example 6.10. Let $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathcal{I} = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$. Then, we consider the isolated time scales linear programming primal model

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Maximize } U(x) = \int_0^{\sigma(4)} tx(t)\Delta t = \sum_{t=0}^4 tx(t) \\ \text{subject to } 6x(t) \leq t + \int_0^t x(s)\Delta s = t + \sum_{s=0}^{t-1} x(s), \quad t \in \mathcal{I} \\ \text{and } x(t) \geq 0, \quad t \in \mathcal{I}, \end{array} \right.$$

where we have used σ and the integral given in Example 2.4. Using MATLAB command linprog or LINDO solver, we have

$$\begin{aligned} x^*(0) &= 0.000000, & x^*(1) &= 0.166667, & x^*(2) &= 0.361111, \\ x^*(3) &= 0.587963, & x^*(4) &= 0.852623, & U(x^*) &= 6.063272. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, the isolated time scales linear programming dual model is

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Minimize } V(z) = \int_0^{\sigma(4)} tz(t)\Delta t = \sum_{t=0}^4 tz(t) \\ \text{subject to } 6z(t) \geq t + \int_{\sigma(t)}^{\sigma(T)} z(s)\Delta s = t + \sum_{s=t+1}^4 z(s), \quad t \in \mathcal{I} \\ \text{and } z(t) \geq 0, \quad t \in \mathcal{I}, \end{array} \right.$$

where we have used again Example 2.4. Using MATLAB command linprog or LINDO solver, we have

$$\begin{aligned} z^*(0) &= 0.382459, & z^*(1) &= 0.470679, & z^*(2) &= 0.546296, \\ z^*(3) &= 0.611111, & z^*(4) &= 0.666667, & V(z^*) &= 6.063272, \end{aligned}$$

confirming $U(x^*) = V(z^*)$.

Example 6.11. Let $\mathbb{T} = 5\mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathcal{I} = \{0, 5, 10, 15, 20\}$. Then, we consider the isolated time scales linear programming primal model

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Maximize } U(x) = \int_0^{\sigma(20)} tx(t)\Delta t = 25 \sum_{k=0}^4 kx(5k) \\ \text{subject to } 6x(t) \leq t + \int_0^t x(s)\Delta s = t + 5 \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{t}{5}-1} x(5k), \quad t \in \mathcal{I} \\ \text{and } x(t) \geq 0, \quad t \in \mathcal{I}, \end{array} \right.$$

where we have used σ and the integral given in Example 2.3. Using MATLAB command linprog or LINDO solver, we have

$$\begin{aligned} x^*(0) &= 0.000000, & x^*(5) &= 0.833333, & x^*(10) &= 2.361111, \\ x^*(15) &= 5.162037, & x^*(20) &= 10.297068, & U(x^*) &= 1555.748. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, the isolated time scales linear programming dual model is

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Minimize } V(z) = \int_0^{\sigma(20)} tz(t)\Delta t = 25 \sum_{k=0}^4 kz(5k) \\ \text{subject to } 6z(t) \geq t + \int_{\sigma(t)}^{\sigma(T)} z(s)\Delta s = t + 5 \sum_{k=\frac{t}{5}+1}^4 z(5k), \quad t \in \mathcal{I} \\ \text{and } z(t) \geq 0, \quad t \in \mathcal{I}. \end{array} \right.$$

where we have used again Example 2.3. Using MATLAB command linprog or LINDO solver, we have

$$\begin{aligned} z^*(0) &= 27.359825, & z^*(5) &= 15.378086, & z^*(10) &= 8.842592, \\ z^*(15) &= 5.277778, & z^*(20) &= 3.333333, & V(z^*) &= 1555.748, \end{aligned}$$

confirming $U(x^*) = V(z^*)$.

Example 6.12. Let $\mathbb{T} = 2^{\mathbb{N}_0}$ and $\mathcal{I} = \{1, 2, 4\}$. Then, we consider the isolated time scales linear programming primal model

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Maximize } U(x) = \int_1^{\sigma(2^2)} tx(t)\Delta t = \sum_{k=0}^2 4^k x(2^k) \\ \text{subject to } 8x(t) \leq 6t + 3 \int_1^t x(s)\Delta s = 6t + 3 \sum_{k=0}^{\log_2 t - 1} 2^k x(2^k), \quad t \in \mathcal{I} \\ \text{and } x(t) \geq 0, \quad t \in \mathcal{I}, \end{array} \right.$$

where we have used σ and the integral given in Example 2.5. Using MATLAB command linprog or LINDO solver, we have

$$\begin{aligned} x^*(1) &= 0.750000, & x^*(2) &= 1.781250, \\ x^*(4) &= 4.617188, & U(x^*) &= 81.75000. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, the isolated time scales linear programming dual model is

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Minimize } V(z) = 6 \int_1^{\sigma(2^2)} tz(t)\Delta t = 6 \sum_{k=0}^2 4^k z(2^k) \\ \text{subject to } 8z(t) \geq t + 3 \int_{\sigma(t)}^{\sigma(4)} z(s)\Delta s = t + 3 \sum_{k=1+\log_2 t}^2 2^k z(2^k), \quad t \in \mathcal{I} \\ \text{and } z(t) \geq 0, \quad t \in \mathcal{I}, \end{array} \right.$$

where we have used again Example 2.5. Using MATLAB command linprog or LINDO solver, we have

$$\begin{aligned} z^*(1) &= 1.625000, & z^*(2) &= 1.000000, \\ z^*(4) &= 0.500000, & V(z^*) &= 81.75000, \end{aligned}$$

confirming $U(x^*) = V(z^*)$.

7. CONCLUSIONS

In this work, duality theorems for linear programming problems on time scales have been presented. For this novel result, a formulation of the primal and

the dual linear models on time scales has been established. Furthermore, the weak duality theorem and the optimality condition theorem were given for arbitrary time scales, while the strong duality theorem has been established for arbitrary isolated time scales. This ensures that our formulation of primal and dual models on time scales presented in this paper is indeed the “correct” formulation. Since all efficient algorithms in the literature can only solve continuous-time linear programming problems by a discrete approximation method (i.e., the optimal solution obtained by this method is a numerical solution with an estimate of the error bound), another contribution of this paper is to solve linear programming problems on arbitrary isolated time scales by efficient exact methods (i.e., the optimal solution obtained by these methods is exact).

REFERENCES

1. Ferhan M. Atici, Daniel C. Biles, and Alex Lebedinsky. An application of time scales to economics. *Math. Comput. Modelling*, 43(7-8):718–726, 2006.
2. Zbigniew Bartosiewicz, Ülle Kotta, Ewa Pawłuszewicz, and Małgorzata Wyrwas. Control systems on regular time scales and their differential rings. *Math. Control Signals Systems*, 22(3):185–201, 2011.
3. Richard E. Bellman. *Dynamic programming*. Princeton Landmarks in Mathematics. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2010. Reprint of the 1957 edition, With a new introduction by Stuart Dreyfus.
4. Richard E. Bellman and Stuart E. Dreyfus. *Applied dynamic programming*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1962.
5. Martin Bohner. Calculus of variations on time scales. *Dynam. Systems Appl.*, 13(3-4):339–349, 2004.
6. Martin Bohner, Meng Fan, and Jimin Zhang. Periodicity of scalar dynamic equations and applications to population models. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 330(1):1–9, 2007.
7. Martin Bohner, Rui A. C. Ferreira, and Delfim F. M. Torres. Integral inequalities and their applications to the calculus of variations on time scales. *Math. Inequal. Appl.*, 13(3):511–522, 2010.
8. Martin Bohner, Gregory Gelles, and Julius Heim. Multiplier-accelerator models on time scales. *Int. J. Stat. Econ.*, 4(S10):1–12, 2010.
9. Martin Bohner and Svetlin G. Georgiev. *Multivariable dynamic calculus on time scales*. Springer, Cham, 2016.
10. Martin Bohner and Gusein Sh. Guseinov. Double integral calculus of variations on time scales. *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 54(1):45–57, 2007.
11. Martin Bohner, Julius Heim, and Ailian Liu. Solow models on time scales. *Cubo*, 15(1):13–31, 2013.
12. Martin Bohner, Julius Heim, and Ailian Liu. Qualitative analysis of a Solow model on time scales. *J. Concr. Appl. Math.*, 13(3-4):183–197, 2015.
13. Martin Bohner and Allan Peterson. *Dynamic equations on time scales*. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2001. An introduction with applications.

14. Martin Bohner and Allan Peterson. *Advances in dynamic equations on time scales*. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2003.
15. Martin Bohner and Nick Wintz. The linear quadratic regulator on time scales. *Int. J. Difference Equ.*, 5(2):149–174, 2010.
16. Martin Bohner and Nick Wintz. The linear quadratic tracker on time scales. *Int. J. Dyn. Syst. Differ. Equ.*, 3(4):423–447, 2011.
17. Martin Bohner and Nick Wintz. Controllability and observability of time-invariant linear dynamic systems. *Math. Bohem.*, 137(2):149–163, 2012.
18. Martin Bohner and Nick Wintz. The Kalman filter for linear systems on time scales. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 406(2):419–436, 2013.
19. R. N. Buie and Jaromír Abrham. Numerical solutions to continuous linear programming problems. *Z. Operations Res. Ser. A-B*, 17(3):A107–A117, 1973.
20. Vašek Chvátal. *Linear programming*. A Series of Books in the Mathematical Sciences. W. H. Freeman and Company, New York, 1983.
21. Richard C. Grinold. Continuous programming. I. Linear objectives. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 28:32–51, 1969.
22. Stefan Hilger. Analysis on measure chains—a unified approach to continuous and discrete calculus. *Results Math.*, 18(1-2):18–56, 1990.
23. Başak Karpuz. Unbounded oscillation of higher-order nonlinear delay dynamic equations of neutral type with oscillating coefficients. *Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ.*, pages No. 34, 14, 2009.
24. Norman Levinson. A class of continuous linear programming problems. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 16:73–83, 1966.
25. Ewa Pawłuszewicz. Observability of nonlinear control systems on time scales. *Internat. J. Systems Sci.*, 43(12):2268–2274, 2012.
26. Christopher C. Tisdell and Atiya Zaidi. Basic qualitative and quantitative results for solutions to nonlinear, dynamic equations on time scales with an application to economic modelling. *Nonlinear Anal.*, 68(11):3504–3524, 2008.
27. William F. Tyndall. A duality theorem for a class of continuous linear programming problems. *J. Soc. Indust. Appl. Math.*, 13:644–666, 1965.
28. William F. Tyndall. An extended duality theorem for continuous linear programming problems. *SIAM J. Appl. Math.*, 15:1294–1298, 1967.
29. Ching-Feng Wen, Yung-Yih Lur, and Hang-Chin Lai. Approximate solutions and error bounds for a class of continuous-time linear programming problems. *Optimization*, 61(2):163–185, 2012.

Rasheed Al-Salih
Missouri S&T,
Department of Mathematics and Statistics,
Rolla, MO 65409-0020,
USA.
E-mail: rbahhd@mst.edu

(Received 26.04.2017)
(Revised 07.11.2017)

Martin Bohner

Missouri S&T,
Department of Mathematics and Statistics,
Rolla, MO 65409-0020,
USA.
E-mail: bohner@mst.edu