

NOTE ON ASYMPTOTIC CONTRACTIONS

Ivan D. Arandjelović

In 2003 W. A. KIRK introduced the notion of asymptotic contractions. In this paper we present one fixed point theorem of KIRK's type unifying and generalizing recent results of W. A. KIRK, J. JACHYMSKI, I. JÓŻWIK and Y.-Z. CHEN.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

W. A. KIRK [8] introduced the notion of asymptotic contractions and proved fixed point theorem for this class of mappings. In note [1] we present a new short and simple proof of KIRK's theorem. Further results on this class of mappings was obtained by: J. JACHYMSKI, I. JÓŻWIK [7], Y.-Z. CHEN [4], P. GERHARDY [5], [6], T. SUZUKI [9], H. K. XU [12], M. ARAV, F. E. C. SANTOS, S. REICH, A. ZASLAVSKI [2] and K. WŁODARCZYK, D. KLIM, R. PLEBANIAK [10], [11]. The papers [10] and [11] presents some ideas for application of the theory of asymptotic contractions in the analysis of set-valued dynamic systems.

In this paper we present one fixed point theorem of KIRK's type unifying and generalizing recent results of W. A. KIRK [8], J. JACHYMSKI, I. JÓŻWIK [7] and Y.-Z. CHEN [4].

Let X be a nonempty set and $f : X \rightarrow X$ arbitrary mapping. $x \in X$ is a fixed point for f if $x = f(x)$. If $x_0 \in X$, we say that a sequence (x_n) defined by $x_n = f^n(x_0)$ is a sequence of PICARD iterates of f at point x_0 or that (x_n) is the orbit of f at point x_0 .

In [2] M. ARAV, F. E. C. SANTOS, S. REICH and A. ZASLAVSKI proved the following result:

Proposition 1. *Let (X, d) be a metric space, $f : X \rightarrow X$ continuous function and (φ_i) sequence of functions such that $\varphi_i : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ and for each $x, y \in X$*

$$d(f^i(x), f^i(y)) \leq \varphi_i(d(x, y)).$$

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 54H25, 47H10

Key Words and Phrases. Fixed point, asymptotic contraction.

Assume also that there exists upper semicontinuous function $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that for any $r > 0$ $\varphi(r) < r$, $\varphi(0) = 0$ and $\varphi_i \rightarrow \varphi$ uniformly on any bounded interval $[0, b]$. If there exists $y \in X$ such that $y = f(y)$ then all sequences of Picard iterates defined by f converge to y , uniformly on each bounded subset of X .

2. MAIN RESULTS

Now we present our results.

Theorem 1. Let (X, d) be a complete metric space, $f : X \rightarrow X$ continuous function and (φ_i) sequence of functions such that $\varphi_i : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ and for each $x, y \in X$

$$d(f^i(x), f^i(y)) \leq \varphi_i(d(x, y)).$$

Assume also that there exists upper semicontinuous function $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that for any $r > 0$ $\varphi(r) < r$, $\varphi(0) = 0$ and $\varphi_i \rightarrow \varphi$ uniformly on any bounded interval $[0, b]$. If one of the following conditions is satisfying:

- 1) there exists $x \in X$ such that orbit of f at x is bounded; or
- 2) $\varliminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} (t - \varphi(t)) > 0$; or
- 3) $\varliminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\varphi(t)}{t} < 1$.

then f has an unique fixed point $y \in X$ and all sequences of Picard iterates defined by f converge to y , uniformly on each bounded subset of X .

Proof. For any $x, y \in X$, $x \neq y$, we have:

$$\overline{\lim} d(f^n(x), f^n(y)) \leq \overline{\lim} \varphi_n(d(x, y)) = \varphi(d(x, y)) < d(x, y).$$

Suppose that there exist $x, y \in X$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\overline{\lim} d(f^n(x), f^n(y)) = \varepsilon$. Then there exists sequence of integers (m_j) , such that

$$\lim d(x_{m_j}, y_{m_j}) = \overline{\lim} d(x_m, y_m).$$

If $\overline{\lim} d(f^k(x), f^k(y)) \geq \varepsilon$, for each $k \in (m_j)$, then from upper semicontinuity of φ follows $\overline{\lim} d(x_{m_j}, y_{m_j}) \leq \varphi(\varepsilon) < \varepsilon$, which is a contradiction. So there exists $k \in (m_j)$ such that

$$\varphi(d(f^k(x), f^k(y))) < \varepsilon.$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\lim} d(f^n(x), f^n(y)) &= \overline{\lim}_n d(f^n(f^k(x)), f^n(f^k(y))) \\ &\leq \overline{\lim}_n \varphi_n(d(f^k(x), f^k(y))) = \varphi(d(f^k(x), f^k(y))) < \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. So we obtain that

$$(1) \quad \lim d(f^n(x), f^n(y)) = 0,$$

for any $x, y \in X$, which implies that all sequences of PICARD iterates defined by f , are equiconvergent.

Now let $a \in X$ be arbitrary, (a_n) be a sequence of PICARD iterates of f at point a , $Y = \overline{(a_n)}$ and $F_n = \{x \in Y : d(x, f^k(x)) \leq 1/n \ (k = 1, \dots, n)\}$. From (1) follows that F_n is nonempty and since f is continuous F_n is closed, for any n . Also, we have $F_{n+1} \subseteq F_n$. Let (x_n) and (y_n) be arbitrary sequences, such that $x_n, y_n \in F_n$. Let (n_j) be a sequence of integers, such that $\lim d(x_{n_j}, y_{n_j}) = \overline{\lim} d(x_n, y_n)$.

For any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists positive integer k such that

$$\varphi(t) + \varepsilon \geq \varphi_k(t)$$

for all $t \in [0, +\infty)$ and $m \geq k$, because $\varphi_n \rightarrow \varphi$ uniformly on the rang of d . Now we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \lim d(x_{n_j}, y_{n_j}) &\leq \overline{\lim} \left(d(x_{n_j}, f^{n_j}(x_{n_j})) + d(f^{n_j}(x_{n_j}), f^{n_j}(y_{n_j})) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + d(y_{n_j}, f^{n_j}(y_{n_j})) \right) = \overline{\lim} d(f^{n_j}(x_{n_j}), f^{n_j}(y_{n_j})) \\ &\leq \overline{\lim} \varphi_{n_j}(d(x_{n_j}, y_{n_j})) \leq \varepsilon + \overline{\lim} \varphi(d(x_{n_j}, y_{n_j})) \\ &\leq \varepsilon + \varphi(\lim d(x_{n_j}, y_{n_j})), \end{aligned}$$

for $n_j \geq k$ and so $\lim d(x_{n_j}, y_{n_j}) = \varphi(\lim d(x_{n_j}, y_{n_j})) \Rightarrow \lim d(x_{n_j}, y_{n_j}) \in \{0, +\infty\}$. Now we have following three cases:

A) Let $\lim d(x_{n_j}, y_{n_j}) = 0$. Thus $\overline{\lim} d(x_n, y_n) = 0$ and so $\lim d(x_n, y_n) = 0$. This implies that $\lim \text{diam } F_n = 0$. By completeness of Y follows that there exists $z \in X$ such that

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} F_n = \{z\}.$$

We remember that 1) \Rightarrow A). Since $d(z, f(z)) \leq 1/n$ for any n , we have $f(z) = z$. From (1) follows that all sequences of PICARD iterates defined by f converge to z . From Proposition 1 follows that this convergence is uniform on bounded subsets of X .

B) Let $\lim d(x_{n_j}, y_{n_j}) = +\infty$ and $\underline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow \infty} (t - \varphi(t)) > 0$. Then from

$$d(x_{n_j}, y_{n_j}) \leq d(x_{n_j}, f^{n_j}(x_{n_j})) + d(f^{n_j}(x_{n_j}), f^{n_j}(y_{n_j})) + d(y_{n_j}, f^{n_j}(y_{n_j}))$$

follows

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_{n_j}, f^{n_j}(x_{n_j})) + d(y_{n_j}, f^{n_j}(y_{n_j})) &\geq d(x_{n_j}, y_{n_j}) - d(f^{n_j}(x_{n_j}), f^{n_j}(y_{n_j})) \\ &\geq d(x_{n_j}, y_{n_j}) - \varphi_{n_j}(d(x_{n_j}, y_{n_j})) \geq d(x_{n_j}, y_{n_j}) - \varphi(d(x_{n_j}, y_{n_j})) - \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

for $n_j \geq k$. Thus

$$\varliminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (t - \varphi(t)) < 0,$$

which is a contradiction.

C) Let $\lim d(x_{n_j}, y_{n_j}) = +\infty$ and $\overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\varphi(t)}{t} < 1$. Then from

$$d(x_{n_j}, y_{n_j}) \leq d(x_{n_j}, f^{n_j}(x_{n_j})) + d(f^{n_j}(x_{n_j}), f^{n_j}(y_{n_j})) + d(y_{n_j}, f^{n_j}(y_{n_j}))$$

follows

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\leq \frac{d(x_{n_j}, f^{n_j}(x_{n_j})) + d(f^{n_j}(x_{n_j}), f^{n_j}(y_{n_j})) + d(y_{n_j}, f^{n_j}(y_{n_j}))}{d(x_{n_j}, y_{n_j})} \\ &\leq \frac{d(x_{n_j}, f^{n_j}(x_{n_j})) + \varphi_{n_j}(d(x_{n_j}, y_{n_j})) + d(y_{n_j}, f^{n_j}(y_{n_j}))}{d(x_{n_j}, y_{n_j})} \\ &\leq \frac{d(x_{n_j}, f^{n_j}(x_{n_j})) + \varphi(d(x_{n_j}, y_{n_j})) + \varepsilon + d(y_{n_j}, f^{n_j}(y_{n_j}))}{d(x_{n_j}, y_{n_j})}, \end{aligned}$$

for $n_j \geq k$. Thus

$$1 \leq \overline{\lim} \frac{\varphi(d(x_{n_j}, y_{n_j}))}{d(x_{n_j}, y_{n_j})} < 1$$

which is a contradiction.

3. COMMENTS AND REMARKS

The statement of W. A. KIRK [8] – Theorem 2.1 has additional assumptions that all φ_i are continuous, and so KIRK's result is include in our Theorem 1.1), as theorem Y.-Z. CHEN [4]- Theorem 2.2 which has additional assumptions that one of (φ_i) is upper semicontinuous.

The statement of J. JACHYMSKI, I. JÓŻWIK [7] – Theorem 2 has additional assumptions “f is uniformly continuous” and condition

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (t - \varphi(t)) = +\infty$$

which is stronger then our condition

$$\varliminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} (t - \varphi(t)) > 0.$$

Thus this result is include in our Theorem 1.2.

The statement of Y.-Z. CHEN [4] – Corollary 2.4 has additional assumptions that one of (φ_i) is upper semicontinuous, and so it is include in our Theorem 1.3.

In the statements of W. A. KIRK [8] – Theorem 2.1 and Y.-Z. CHEN [4] – Theorem 2.2, the assumption “f is continuous” was inadvertently left out, but

it was used in the proofs of theorems. J. JACHYMSKI, I. JÓŹWIK [7], give the following example for necessity of this condition.

EXAMPLE 1. Let $X = [0, 1]$ an $f : X \rightarrow X$ defined by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & x = 0, \\ x/2, & x \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

So $f(X) \subseteq (0, 1)$ which implies $f^n(X) \subseteq (0, 1/2^{n-1})$. A sequence of functions $\varphi_n = 1/2^{n-1}$ satisfies the conditions of Theorem 2.1 because $\varphi_n \rightarrow 0$ uniformly, but f is fixed point free.

Now we give the following example for necessity of uniformly convergence of sequence (φ_n) .

EXAMPLE 2. Let (x_n) be an arbitrary sequence, $X = \{x_n\}$, and $d : X^2 \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ mapping defined by

$$d(x_n, x_{n+k}) = \frac{k}{n+k} + \frac{1}{nk},$$

$n \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$, $k \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$. (X, d) is complete metric space, because each ball which radius is less than 1 contains only a finite number elements of X . d is discrete metric and all nonzero distance are distinct. Also we have:

$$\lim_k d(x_n, x_{n+k}) = 1$$

and

$$\lim_n d(x_n, x_{n+k}) = 0.$$

Now define $f : X \rightarrow X$ by $f(x_n) = x_{n+1}$. Let $\varphi(t) = t/2$ and

$$\varphi_n(d(x_i, x_j)) = \max \left\{ d(x_{i+n}, x_{j+n}), \frac{d(x_i, x_j)}{2} \right\},$$

for $i \neq j$. The function φ_n is well defined because the number $d(x_i, x_j)$ occurs only once in the rang of d . From

$$\lim_n d(x_{i+n}, x_{j+n}) = 0$$

follows $\varphi_n \rightarrow \varphi$ on the rang of d . The inequality

$$d(f^n(x_i), f^n(x_j)) \leq \varphi_n(d(x_i, x_j))$$

is also satisfied, but f is fixed point free.

REFERENCES

1. I. ARANĐELOVIĆ: *On a fixed point theorem of Kirk*. J. Math. Anal. Appl., **301** (2005), 384–385.
2. M. ARAV, F. E. C. SANTOS, S. REICH, A. ZASLAVSKI: *A note on asymptotic contractions*. Fixed point theory and applications (**2007**) ID **39465**, 6 pages, doi:10.1155/2007/39465
3. E. M. BRISEID: *A rate of convergence for asymptotic contractions*. J. Math. Anal. Appl., (**2006**) doi:10.1016/jmaa.2006.07.069
4. Y.-Z. CHEN: *Asymptotic fixed points for nonlinear contractions*. Fixed point Theory Appl., **2005:2** (2005), 213–217.
5. P. GERHARDY: *A quantitative version of Kirk's fixed point theorem for asymptotic contractions*. J. Math. Anal. Appl., **316** (2006), 339–345.
6. P. GERHARDY: *Applications of proof interpretations*. PhD Dissertation, University of Aarhus **2006**.
7. J. JACHYMSKI, I. JÓZWIK: *On Kirk's asymptotic contractions*. J. Mat. Anal. Appl., **300** (2004), 147–159.
8. W. A. KIRK: *Fixed points of asymptotic contractions*. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 277 (2003), 645–650.
9. T. SUZUKI: *Fixed-point theorem for asymptotic contractions of Meir-Keeler type in complete metric spaces*. Nonlinear Analysis, **64** (2006), 971–978.
10. K. WŁODARCZYK, D. KLIM, R. PLEBANIAK: *Existence and uniqueness of endpoints of closedset-valued asymptotic contractions in metric spaces*. J. Math. Anal. Appl., **328** (2007), 46–57.
11. K. WŁODARCZYK, R. PLEBANIAK, CEZARY OBCZYŃSKI: *Endpoints set-valued dynamical systems of asymptotic contractions of Meir-Keeler type and strict contractions in uniform spaces*. Nonlinear Analysis, (**2006**), doi:10.1016/j.na.2006.07.039
12. H. K. XU: *Asymptotic and weakly asymptotic contractions*. Indian J. pure appl. Math., **36** (2005), 145–150.

University of Belgrade,
Faculty of Mechanical Engineering,
Kraljice Marije 16,
11000 Beograd,
Serbia
E-mail: iva@alfa.mas.bg.ac.yu

(Received October 27, 2006)