

**A GENERALIZED DISCRETE FRACTIONAL
GRONWALL INEQUALITY AND ITS APPLICATION ON
THE UNIQUENESS OF SOLUTIONS FOR NONLINEAR
DELAY FRACTIONAL DIFFERENCE SYSTEM**

Jehad Alzabut and Thabet Abdeljawad*

In this paper, we state and prove a new discrete fractional version of the generalized Gronwall inequality. Based on this, a particular version expressed by means of discrete Mittag–Leffler functions is provided. As an application, we prove the uniqueness and obtain an estimate for the solutions of nonlinear delay Caputo fractional difference system. Numerical example is presented to demonstrate the applicability of the main results.

1. INTRODUCTION

The theory of fractional differential equations has been extensively investigated over the last years due to widespread applications in various fields of science and engineering. For more details, see the the remarkable monographs [1–3] and the references cited therein.

It has been realized that the discrete analogue of ordinary differential equations has tremendous applications in computational analysis and computer simulations [4]. Motivated by this reality, the study of the discrete analogue of fractional differential equations has become pressing and compulsory. In recent years, few mathematicians have taken the lead to develop the theory of fractional difference equations which is the discrete analogue of fractional differential equations. We cite

*Corresponding Author. J. Alzabut

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 34A08; 26D10.

Keywords and Phrases. Delay fractional difference system; Discrete Gronwall inequality; Caputo fractional difference.

here the papers [5–16] whose authors initiated the study of the theory of fractional difference equations. For more comprehensive details about this subject, the reader is recommended to consult the new survey paper [17].

Mathematical inequalities play an essential role in the investigation of many properties of differential and difference equations. Gronwall inequality, which is our main concern herein, has been studied for fractional differential equations [18–22]. Nevertheless, the investigation of Gronwall inequality for fractional difference equations is comparably seldom [23–25]. The existence and uniqueness of solutions, which is a main application of Gronwall inequality, has been the object of many researchers prior to the study of the qualitative properties for different types of differential or difference equations. Recently, there have appeared many results about the existence and uniqueness of solutions for fractional differential equations [26–32]. For fractional difference equations, however, the authors claim that there is few literature on the existence and uniqueness of solutions [33–38].

In parallel to the development of fractional difference equations in the recent years, we state and prove a new discrete fractional version of the generalized Gronwall inequality. A particular version expressed by means of discrete Mittag–Leffler functions is also provided. As an application, we prove the uniqueness and obtain an estimate for the solutions of nonlinear delay Caputo fractional difference system. Numerical example is presented to demonstrate the applicability of the main results. Our result is different and generalize some existing results in the literature.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let \mathbb{R}^m be the m -dimensional Euclidean space and define $\mathbb{N}_0 = \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$, $I_{-\tau} = \{-\tau, -\tau + 1, \dots, 0\}$ and $\mathbb{N}_{-\tau} = \{-\tau, -\tau + 1, \dots\}$ where $\tau \in \mathbb{N}_0$. We prove our main results for the system

$$(1) \quad \begin{cases} {}^c\nabla_0^\alpha x(t) = A_0 x(t) + A_1 x(t - \tau) + f(t, x(t), x(t - \tau)), & t \in \mathbb{N}_0 \\ x(t) = \varphi(t), & t \in I_{-\tau}, \end{cases}$$

where ${}^c\nabla_t^\alpha$ denotes the Caputo's fractional difference of order $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, the state vector $x : \mathbb{N}_{-\tau} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$, the constant matrices A_0 and A_1 are of appropriate dimensions, the nonlinearity $f : \mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ and the initial function $\varphi : I_{-\tau} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$.

For the convenience of the reader, we recall some definitions of ∇ -based fractional operators which will facilitate the analysis of system (1). For any $\alpha, t \in \mathbb{R}$, the α rising function is defined by

$$(2) \quad t^{\bar{\alpha}} = \frac{\Gamma(t + \alpha)}{\Gamma(t)}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{\dots, -2, -1, 0\}, \quad 0^{\bar{\alpha}} = 0,$$

where Γ is the Gamma function satisfying the property $\Gamma(\alpha + 1) = \alpha\Gamma(\alpha)$.

Definition 1. [11, 12] *Let $x : \mathbb{N}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and define $\rho(s) = s - 1$. For $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, we have*

1. The nabla backward difference of x is defined by $\nabla x(t) = x(t) - x(t-1)$, $t \in \mathbb{N}_1 = \{1, 2, \dots\}$.

2. The Riemann–Liouville’s sum operator of x is defined by

$$(3) \quad \nabla_0^{-\alpha} x(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{s=1}^t (t - \rho(s))^{\overline{\alpha-1}} x(s), \quad t \in \mathbb{N}_1.$$

3. The Riemann–Liouville’s difference operator of x is defined by

$$(4) \quad \nabla_0^\alpha x(t) = \nabla \left(\nabla_0^{-(1-\alpha)} x(t) \right) = \frac{\nabla}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \sum_{s=1}^t (t - \rho(s))^{\overline{-\alpha}} x(s), \quad t \in \mathbb{N}_1.$$

4. The Caputo’s difference operator of x is defined by

$$(5) \quad {}^c \nabla_0^\alpha x(t) = \nabla_0^{-(1-\alpha)} \nabla x(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \sum_{s=1}^t (t - \rho(s))^{\overline{-\alpha}} \nabla x(s), \quad t \in \mathbb{N}_1.$$

5. The relation

$$(6) \quad \nabla_0^{-\alpha} {}^c \nabla_0^\alpha x(t) = x(t) - x(0).$$

6. The power rule is defined for $t \in \mathbb{N}_a = \{a, a+1, a+2, \dots\}$, $a \in \mathbb{R}$ by

$$(7) \quad \nabla_a^{-\alpha} (t-a)^{\overline{\mu}} = \frac{\Gamma(\mu+1)}{\Gamma(\mu+\alpha+1)} (t-a)^{\overline{\alpha+\mu}}, \quad \mu > -1,$$

and hence

$$\nabla_a^\alpha (t-a)^{\overline{\mu}} = \frac{\Gamma(\mu+1)}{\Gamma(\mu-\alpha+1)} (t-a)^{\overline{\mu-\alpha}}.$$

7. The relation between Riemann–Liouville and Caputo’s difference operators is defined by

$$(8) \quad \nabla_0^\alpha x(t) = {}^c \nabla_0^\alpha x(t) + x(0) \frac{t^{-\overline{\alpha}}}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}.$$

3. A GENERALIZED DISCRETE FRACTIONAL GRONWALL INEQUALITY

We state and prove a new discrete fractional version of the generalized Gronwall’s inequality that will be valid for systems involving delay term.

Theorem 1. (Generalized Gronwall Inequality) *Let $\alpha > 0$, $u(t), v(t)$ be nonnegative functions and $w(t)$ be nonnegative and nondecreasing function for $t \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $w(t) \leq M$ where M is a constant. If*

$$(9) \quad u(t) \leq v(t) + w(t)\Gamma(\alpha)\nabla_0^{-\alpha}u(t),$$

then

$$(10) \quad u(t) \leq v(t) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(w(t)\Gamma(\alpha) \right)^k \nabla_0^{-k\alpha}v(t).$$

Proof. Define

$$B\phi(t) = w(t) \sum_{s=1}^t (t - \rho(s))^{\overline{\alpha-1}} \phi(s), \quad t \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

It follows that

$$u(t) \leq v(t) + Bu(t),$$

which implies that $u(t) \leq \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} B^k v(t) + B^n u(t)$. We claim that

$$(11) \quad B^n u(t) \leq \sum_{s=1}^t \frac{(w(t)\Gamma(\alpha))^n}{\Gamma(n\alpha)} (t - \rho(s))^{\overline{n\alpha-1}} u(s)$$

and $B^n u(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for $t \in \mathbb{N}_0$. It is easy to see that (11) is valid for $n = 1$. Assume that it is true for $n = k$, that is,

$$B^k u(t) \leq \sum_{s=1}^t \frac{(w(t)\Gamma(\alpha))^k}{\Gamma(k\alpha)} (t - \rho(s))^{\overline{k\alpha-1}} u(s).$$

If $n = k + 1$ and by virtue of the assumption that w is a nondecreasing function, we have

$$\begin{aligned} B^{k+1}u(t) &= B(B^k u(t)) \leq w^{k+1}(t) \sum_{s=1}^t (t - \rho(s))^{\overline{\alpha-1}} \sum_{\nu=1}^s \frac{(\Gamma(\alpha))^k}{\Gamma(k\alpha)} (s - \rho(\nu))^{\overline{k\alpha-1}} u(\nu) \\ &= w^{k+1}(t) \sum_{\nu=1}^t \sum_{s=\nu}^t \frac{(\Gamma(\alpha))^k}{\Gamma(k\alpha)} (t - \rho(s))^{\overline{\alpha-1}} (s - \rho(\nu))^{\overline{k\alpha-1}} u(\nu) \\ &= \frac{w^{k+1}(t)(\Gamma(\alpha))^{k+1}}{\Gamma(k\alpha)} \sum_{\nu=1}^t \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{s=\nu}^t (t - \rho(s))^{\overline{\alpha-1}} (s - \rho(\nu))^{\overline{k\alpha-1}} u(\nu) \\ &= \frac{(w(t)\Gamma(\alpha))^{k+1}}{\Gamma(k\alpha)} \sum_{\nu=1}^t \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{s=\nu}^t (t - \rho(s))^{\overline{\alpha-1}} (s - \rho(\nu))^{\overline{k\alpha-1}} u(\nu) \\ &= \frac{(w(t)\Gamma(\alpha))^{k+1}}{\Gamma(k\alpha)} \sum_{\nu=1}^t \nabla_{\rho(\nu)}^{-\alpha} (s - \rho(\nu))^{\overline{k\alpha-1}} u(\nu), \end{aligned}$$

where $\nabla_{\rho(\nu)}^{-\alpha} u(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{s=\nu}^t (t - \rho(s))^{\overline{\alpha-1}} u(s)$ has been used. It follows from (7) that

$$\begin{aligned} B^{k+1}u(t) &\leq \frac{(w(t)\Gamma(\alpha))^{k+1}}{\Gamma(k\alpha)} \sum_{\nu=1}^t (s - \rho(\nu))^{\overline{k\alpha+\alpha-1}} \frac{\Gamma(k\alpha)}{\Gamma(k\alpha + \alpha)} u(\nu) \\ &= \sum_{\nu=1}^t \frac{(w(t)\Gamma(\alpha))^{k+1}}{\Gamma(k\alpha + \alpha)} (s - \rho(\nu))^{\overline{k\alpha+\alpha-1}} u(\nu). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, relation (11) is obtained. Furthermore, one can figure out that

$$B^n u(t) \leq \sum_{s=1}^t \frac{(M\Gamma(\alpha))^n}{\Gamma(n\alpha)} (t - \rho(s))^{\overline{n\alpha-1}} u(s) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, t \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

To complete the proof, we let $n \rightarrow \infty$ in

$$u(t) \leq \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} B^k v(t) + B^n u(t) = v(t) + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} B^k v(t) + B^n u(t)$$

to reach at $u(t) \leq v(t) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} B^k v(t)$. By the help of the semigroup property

$$(\nabla_0^{-\alpha} \nabla_0^{-\mu} v)(t) = (\nabla_0^{-(\alpha+\mu)} v)(t)$$

and the definition of B we get (10). This completes the proof. \square

Let $E_{\overline{\alpha}}(\lambda, z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^k z^{\overline{k\alpha}}}{\Gamma(\overline{\alpha k + 1})}$ be the Mittag-Leffler function in one parameter which was introduced in [12, 39]. Based on Theorem 1, we provide a particular version expressed by means of the discrete Mittag-Leffler functions.

Corollary 1. *Under the hypothesis of Theorem 1, assume further that $v(t)$ is a nondecreasing function for $t \in \mathbb{N}_0$, then*

$$(12) \quad u(t) \leq v(t) E_{\overline{\alpha}}(w(t)\Gamma(\alpha), t), \quad t \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

Proof. From (10) and the assumption that $v(t)$ is a nondecreasing function for $t \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we may write

$$u(t) \leq v(t) \left[1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{s=1}^t \frac{(w(t)\Gamma(\alpha))^k}{\Gamma(k\alpha)} (t - \rho(s))^{\overline{k\alpha-1}} \right]$$

or

$$u(t) \leq v(t) \left[1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \nabla_0^{-k\alpha} (w(t)\Gamma(\alpha))^k \right].$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
u(t) &\leq v(t) \left[1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(w(t) \Gamma(\alpha) \right)^k \nabla_0^{-k\alpha} 1 \right] \\
&= v(t) \left[1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(w(t) \Gamma(\alpha) \right)^k \frac{t^{\overline{k\alpha}}}{\Gamma(k\alpha + 1)} \right] \\
&= v(t) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(w(t) \Gamma(\alpha) \right)^k t^{\overline{k\alpha}}}{\Gamma(k\alpha + 1)} = v(t) E_{\overline{\alpha}}(w(t) \Gamma(\alpha), t).
\end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete. \square

For more comprehensive details on the properties of Mittag-Leffler function in one parameter, one can consult the papers [40, 41].

4. APPLICATIONS AND AN EXAMPLE

Based on the results obtained in the previous section, we prove the uniqueness and obtain an estimate for the solutions of system (1). Moreover, numerical example is presented to demonstrate the applicability of the main results.

Let $|\cdot|$ be any Euclidean norm and $\|\cdot\|$ be the matrix norm induced with this vector. Let $D = D(\mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^m)$ be the set of all bounded functions (sequences). Clearly, the space D is a Banach space induced with the norm $\|z\|_D := \sup_{t \in I_{-\tau}} |z(t)|$.

We make use of the following assumptions:

(H.1) $f \in D(\mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^m)$ is a Lipschitz-type function. That is, there exists a positive constant $L_1 > 0$ such that

$$\|f(t, x(t), x(t-\tau)) - f(t, y(t), y(t-\tau))\| \leq L_1 \left(\|x(t) - y(t)\| + \|x(t-\tau) - y(t-\tau)\| \right),$$

for $t \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

(H.2) There exists a positive constant L_2 such that $\|f(t, x(t), x(t-\tau))\| \leq L_2$.

The first result in this section provides a representation for the solutions of system (1) that will be useful in the subsequent analysis.

Theorem 2. $x : \mathbb{N}_{-\tau} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is a solution of system (1) if and only if

$$(13) \quad \begin{cases} x(t) = \varphi(0) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{s=1}^t (t - \rho(s))^{\overline{\alpha-1}} \left[A_0 x(s) + A_1 x(s - \tau) \right. \\ \left. + f(s, x(s), x(s - \tau)) \right], \quad t \in \mathbb{N}_0 \\ x(t) = \varphi(t), \quad t \in I_{-\tau}, \end{cases}$$

Proof. For $t \in I_{-\tau}$, it is clear that $x(t) = \varphi(t)$ is the solution of (1). For $t \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we apply ∇_0^α on both sides of equation (??) to obtain

$$\nabla_0^\alpha x(t) = \varphi(0) \frac{t^{-\alpha}}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} + A_0 x(t) + A_1 x(t-\tau) + f(t, x(t), x(t-\tau)),$$

where $(\nabla_0^\alpha \nabla_0^{-\alpha} u)(t) = u(t)$ have been used. By using relation (8), we end up with the desired form

$${}^c\nabla_0^\alpha x(t) = A_0 x(t) + A_1 x(t-\tau) + f(t, x(t), x(t-\tau)), \quad t \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

From system (1), we can see that $x(t) = \varphi(t)$ for $t \in I_{-\tau}$. For $t \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we apply $\nabla_0^{-\alpha}$ on both sides of equation (1) to get

$$\nabla_0^{-\alpha} [{}^c\nabla_0^\alpha x(t)] = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{s=1}^t (t-\rho(s))^{\overline{\alpha-1}} [A_0 x(s) + A_1 x(s-\tau) + f(s, x(s), x(s-\tau))].$$

In view of relation (6), one can easily see that

$$x(t) = \varphi(0) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{s=1}^t (t-\rho(s))^{\overline{\alpha-1}} [A_0 x(s) + A_1 x(s-\tau) + f(s, x(s), x(s-\tau))].$$

□

The first main application in this paper is provided in the following theorem.

Theorem 3. *Let condition (H.1) hold. If $x(t)$ and $y(t)$ are two solutions for the system (1), then $x(t) = y(t)$.*

Proof. Let x and y be two solutions of system (1). Denote z by $z(t) = x(t) - y(t)$. Then, one can easily figure out that $z(t) = 0$ for $t \in I_{-\tau}$. This implies that system (1) has a unique solution for $t \in I_{-\tau}$.

For $t \in \mathbb{N}_0$, however, we have

$$z(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{s=1}^t (t-\rho(s))^{\overline{\alpha-1}} [A_0 z(s) + A_1 z(s-\tau) + f(s, x(s), x(s-\tau)) - f(s, y(s), y(s-\tau))].$$

If $t \in I_\tau = \{0, 1, \dots, \tau\}$, then $z(t-\tau) = 0$. Therefore,

$$(14) \quad z(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{s=1}^t (t-\rho(s))^{\overline{\alpha-1}} [A_0 z(s) + f(s, x(s), x(s-\tau)) - f(s, y(s), y(s-\tau))].$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned}
\|z(t)\| &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{s=1}^t (t - \rho(s))^{\overline{\alpha-1}} [\|A_0\| \|z(s)\| \\
&\quad + \|f(s, x(s), x(s - \tau)) - f(s, y(s), y(s - \tau))\|] \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{s=1}^t (t - \rho(s))^{\overline{\alpha-1}} [\|A_0\| \|z(s)\| \\
&\quad + L_1 (\|x(s) - y(s)\| + \|x(s - \tau) - y(s - \tau)\|)] \\
&= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{s=1}^t (t - \rho(s))^{\overline{\alpha-1}} [(\|A_0\| + L_1) \|z(s)\| + L_1 \|z(s - \tau)\|] \\
(15) \quad &= \frac{\|A_0\| + L_1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{s=1}^t (t - \rho(s))^{\overline{\alpha-1}} \|z(s)\|.
\end{aligned}$$

By applying the result of Corollary 1, we have

$$(16) \quad \|z(t)\| \leq 0 \cdot E_{\overline{\alpha}}[\|A_0\| + L_1, t],$$

which implies that $x(t) = y(t)$ for $t \in I_\tau$.

For $t \in \mathbb{N}_\tau = \{\tau, \tau + 1, \dots\}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
z(t) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{s=1}^t (t - \rho(s))^{\overline{\alpha-1}} [A_0 z(s) + f(s, x(s), x(s - \tau)) - f(s, y(s), y(s - \tau))] \\
(17) + &\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{s=1}^t (t - \rho(s))^{\overline{\alpha-1}} A_1 z(s - \tau).
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|z(t)\| &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{s=1}^t (t - \rho(s))^{\overline{\alpha-1}} [\|A_0\| \|z(s)\| \\
&\quad + \|f(s, x(s), x(s - \tau)) - f(s, y(s), y(s - \tau))\|] \\
&\quad + \frac{\|A_1\|}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{s=1}^t (t - \rho(s))^{\overline{\alpha-1}} \|z(s - \tau)\| \\
(18) \quad &\leq \frac{\|A_0\| + L_1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{s=1}^t (t - \rho(s))^{\overline{\alpha-1}} \|z(s)\| \\
&\quad + \frac{\|A_1\| + L_1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{s=1}^t (t - \rho(s))^{\overline{\alpha-1}} \|z(s - \tau)\|.
\end{aligned}$$

Let $\bar{z}(t) = \sup_{\theta \in I_{-\tau}} \|z(t + \theta)\|$, then we get

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{z}(t) &\leq \frac{\|A_0\| + L_1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{s=1}^t (t - \rho(s))^{\overline{\alpha-1}} \bar{z}(s) + \frac{\|A_1\| + L_1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{s=1}^t (t - \rho(s))^{\overline{\alpha-1}} \bar{z}(s) \\ (19) \quad &\leq \frac{\|A_0\| + \|A_1\| + 2L_1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{s=1}^t (t - \rho(s))^{\overline{\alpha-1}} \bar{z}(s). \end{aligned}$$

By applying the result of Corollary 1, we obtain

$$(20) \quad \|z(t)\| \leq \bar{z}(t) \leq 0 \cdot E_{\overline{\alpha}}[(\|A_0\| + \|A_0\| + 2L_1)\Gamma(\alpha), t],$$

Hence, we end up with $x(t) = y(t)$ for $t \in \mathbb{N}_{-\tau}$. □

In the following theorem, we provide an estimate for the solution of system (1).

Theorem 4. *Let condition (H.2) hold. Then, the following estimate for the solution $x(t)$ of system (1) is valid:*

$$(21) \quad \|x(t)\| \leq \left[\|\varphi\| + \frac{L_2 + \|\varphi\|(\|A_0\| + \|A_1\|)}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} t^{\overline{\alpha}} \right] E_{\overline{\alpha}}[(\|A_0\| + \|A_1\|), t].$$

Proof. For $t \in \mathbb{N}_0$, the solution of system (1) has the form

$$(22) \quad x(t) = \varphi(0) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{s=1}^t (t - \rho(s))^{\overline{\alpha-1}} \left[A_0 x(s) + A_1 x(s - \tau) + f(s, x(s), x(s - \tau)) \right].$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|x(t)\| &\leq \|\varphi(0)\| + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{s=1}^t (t - \rho(s))^{\overline{\alpha-1}} \|A_0 x(s) + A_1 x(s - \tau) \\ &\quad + f(s, x(s), x(s - \tau))\| \\ &\leq \|\varphi\| + \frac{\|A_0\|}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{s=1}^t (t - \rho(s))^{\overline{\alpha-1}} \|x(s)\| \\ &\quad + \frac{\|A_1\|}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{s=1}^t (t - \rho(s))^{\overline{\alpha-1}} \|x(s - \tau)\| \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{s=1}^t (t - \rho(s))^{\overline{\alpha-1}} \|f(s, x(s), x(s - \tau))\|. \end{aligned}$$

By the assumption (H.2), the above inequality can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|x(t)\| &\leq \|\varphi\| + \frac{\|A_0\| + \|A_1\|}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{s=1}^t (t - \rho(s))^{\overline{\alpha-1}} \left[\sup_{\theta \in I_{-\tau}} \|x(s + \theta)\| + \|\varphi\| \right] \\
 (23) \quad &+ \frac{L_2}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{s=1}^t (t - \rho(s))^{\overline{\alpha-1}} \\
 &= \|\varphi\| + \frac{L_2 + \|\varphi\|(\|A_0\| + \|A_1\|)}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} t^{\overline{\alpha}}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$(24) \quad + \frac{\|A_0\| + \|A_1\|}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{s=1}^t (t - \rho(s))^{\overline{\alpha-1}} \sup_{\theta \in I_{-\tau}} \|x(s + \theta)\|,$$

where the power rule (7) has been used. Let $v(t) = \|\varphi\| + \frac{L_2 + \|\varphi\|(\|A_0\| + \|A_1\|)}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} t^{\overline{\alpha}}$, then v is nondecreasing function. Therefore, Corollary 1 with $w(t) = \|A_0\| + \|A_1\|$ implies that

$$(25) \quad \|x(t)\| \leq \sup_{\theta \in I_{-\tau}} \|x(t + \theta)\| \leq v(t) E_{\overline{\alpha}}[(\|A_0\| + \|A_1\|)\Gamma(\alpha), t].$$

Hence, the solution x of (1) satisfies the estimate

$$(26) \quad \|x(t)\| \leq \left[\|\varphi\| + \frac{L_2 + \|\varphi\|(\|A_0\| + \|A_1\|)}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} t^{\overline{\alpha}} \right] E_{\overline{\alpha}}[(\|A_0\| + \|A_1\|)\Gamma(\alpha), t].$$

The proof is complete. □

Example 1. Consider the nonlinear delay fractional difference equation of the form

$$(27) \quad {}^c\nabla_t^{\frac{1}{2}} x(t) = 2x(t) + 3x(t - 3) - \sin x(t) + 3 \sin x(t - 3), \quad t \in \mathbb{N}_0$$

with the initial function $x(t) = \cos 2t$, $t \in I_{-3}$. Clearly, equation (27) is a scalar equation and $A_0 = 2$ and $A_1 = 3$. The nonlinearity has the form $f(t, x(t), x(t - \tau)) = -\sin x(t) + 3 \sin x(t - 3)$. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|f(t, x(t), x(t - \tau)) - f(t, y(t), y(t - \tau))\| &= \|-\sin x(t) + 3 \sin x(t - 3) + \sin y(t) - 3 \sin y(t - 3)\| \\
 &\leq 3 \left(\|\sin x(t) - \sin y(t)\| + \|\sin x(t - 3) - \sin y(t - 3)\| \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, condition (H.1) holds with $L_1 = 3$. By the consequence of Theorem 2, equation (27) has a unique solution. Moreover,

$$\|f(t, x(t), x(t - \tau))\| = \|-\sin x(t) + 3 \sin x(t - 3)\| \leq 4,$$

which implies that condition (H.2) is satisfied with $L_2 = 4$. By Theorem 4, the solution has the estimate

$$\|x(t)\| \leq \left[1 + \frac{9}{\Gamma(\frac{3}{2})} t^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(5\sqrt{\pi})^k t^{\frac{k}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{k}{2} + 1)}.$$

Remark 1. *Solving equation (27) is not an easy task. However, getting a bound for the solution could be considered as a substantial step.*

Acknowledgment. The authors would like to thank Prince Sultan University for funding this work through research group Nonlinear Analysis Methods in Applied Mathematics (NAMAM) group number RG-DES-2017-01-17.

REFERENCES

1. S. G. SAMKO, A. A. KILBAS, O. I. MARICHEV: *Fractional Integrals and Derivatives: Theory and Applications*, Gordon and Breach Science, Yverdon, Switzerland, 1993.
2. I. PODLUBNY: *Fractional Differential Equations*, vol. 198 of Mathematics in Science and Engineering, Academic Press, San Diego, Calif, USA, 1999.
3. R. HILFER: *Applications of Fractional Calculus in Physics*, World Scientific Publishing Company, Singapore, 2000.
4. W. G. KELLEY, A. C. PETERSON: *Difference Equations: An Introduction with Applications*, Academic Press, New York, NY, USA, 1991.
5. F. M. ATICI, P. W. ELOE: *A transform method in discrete fractional calculus*, Int. J. Difference Equ. **2** (2) (2007), 165–176.
6. F. M. ATICI, P. W. ELOE: *Initial value problems in discrete fractional calculus*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **137** (3) (2009), 981–989.
7. F. M. ATICI, P. W. ELOE: *Discrete fractional calculus with the nabla operator*, Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ. **2009** (3) (2009), 1–12.
8. F. M. ATICI, S. ŞENGÜL: *Modeling with factorial difference equations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **369** (1) (2010), 1–9.
9. F. M. ATICI, P. W. ELOE: *Linear systems of fractional nabla difference equations*, Rocky Mountain J. Math. **41** (2011), 353–370.
10. T. ABDELJAWAD: *On Riemann and Caputo fractional differences*, Comput. Math. Appl. **62** (2011), 1602–1611.
11. T. ABDELJAWAD, F. M. ATICI: *On the definitions of nabla fractional operators*, Abstr. Appl. Anal. **2012** (2012), 13 pages.
12. T. ABDELJAWAD: *On Delta and Nabla Caputo Fractional Differences and Dual Identities*, Discrete Dyn. Nat. Soc. **2013** (2013), 12 pages.
13. T. ABDELJAWAD, D. BALEANU, F. JARAD, R. P. AGARWAL: *Fractional Sums and Differences with Binomial Coefficients*, Discrete Dyn. Nat. Soc. **2013** (2013), 6 pages.
14. G. A. ANASTASSIOU: *Nabla discrete fractional calculus and nabla inequalities*, Math. Comput. Modelling **51** (2010), 562–571.
15. T. ABDELJAWAD: *Dual identities in fractional difference calculus within Riemann*, Adv. Difference Equ. **2013**, 2013: 36.

16. B. ABDALLA, K. ABUDAYA, J. ALZABUT, T. ABDELJAWAD: *New oscillation criteria for forced nonlinear fractional difference equations*, Vietnam J. Math. **45** (2017), 609–618.
17. L. ERBE, C. S. GOODRICH, B. JIA, A. PETERSON: *Survey of the qualitative properties of fractional difference operators: monotonicity, convexity, and asymptotic behavior of solutions*, Adv. Difference Equ. 2016, 2016: 43.
18. H. YEA, J. GAO, Y. DING: *A generalized Gronwall inequality and its application to a fractional differential equation*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **328** (2) (2007), 1075–1081.
19. J. SHAO, F. MENG: *Gronwall–Bellman type inequalities and their applications to fractional differential equations*, Abstr. Appl. Anal. 2013 (2013), 7 pages.
20. S-YOU LIN: *Generalized Gronwall inequalities and their applications to fractional differential equations*, J. Inequal. Appl. 2013, 2013: 549.
21. K. KARTHIKEYAN, C. CHANDRAN, J. J. TRUJILLO: *Boundary value problems for fractional integrodifferential equations involving Gronwall inequality in Banach space*, J. Appl. Math. & Informatics **34** (3–4)(2016), 193–206.
22. M. JLELI, D. O’REGAN, B. SAMET: *Some fractional integral inequalities involving m -convex functions*, Aequationes Math. **91** (3) (2017), 479–490.
23. T. ABDELJAWAD, J. O. ALZABUT: *The q -fractional analogue for Gronwall–type inequality*, J. Funct. Spaces Appl. 2013, Art. ID 543839.
24. M. FECKAN, M. POSPIL: *Note on fractional difference Gronwall inequalities*, Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ. **44** (2014), 1–18.
25. T. ABDELJAWAD, J. ALZABUT, D. BALEANU: *A generalized q -fractional Gronwall inequality and its applications to nonlinear delay q -fractional difference systems*, J. Inequal. Appl. 2016 2016: 240.
26. A. B. ABDULLA, M. AL-REFAI, A. AL-RAWASHDEH: *On the existence and uniqueness of solutions for a class of non-linear fractional boundary value problems*, J. King Saud University–Science, **28** (1) (2016), 103–110.
27. Z-DONG MEI, J-GEN PENG, J-HUAI GAO: *Existence and uniqueness of solutions for nonlinear general fractional differential equations in Banach spaces*, Indag. Math. **26** (4) (2015), 669–678.
28. B. ZHU, L. LIU, Y. WU: *Existence and uniqueness of global mild solutions for a class of nonlinear fractional reaction–diffusion equations with delay*, Comput. Math. Appl. In Press, Corrected Proof, Available online 12 February 2016.
29. D. BALEANU, S. REZAPOUR, H. MOHAMMADI: *Some existence results on nonlinear fractional differential equations*, Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A 371: 20120144.
30. Y. ZHOU, F. JIAO, J. LI: *Existence and uniqueness for fractional neutral differential equations with infinite delay*, Nonlinear Anal. **71** (7–8) (2009), 3249–3256.
31. N. LI, C. WANG: *New existence results of positive solution for a class of nonlinear fractional differential equations*, Acta Math. Sci. **33** (3)(2013), 847–854.
32. W. FENG, S. SUN, Z. HAN, Y. ZHAO: *Existence of solutions for a singular system of nonlinear fractional differential equations*, Comput. Math. Appl. **62** (3) (2011), 1370–1378.
33. F. CHEN: *Fixed points and asymptotic stability of nonlinear fractional difference equations*, Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ. **39** (2011), 1–18.

34. F. CHEN, X. LUO, Y. ZHOU: *Existence results for nonlinear fractional order difference equation*, Adv. Difference Equ. 2011 (2011), Article ID 713201.
35. F. CHEN, Y. ZHOU: *Existence and Ulam stability of solutions for discrete fractional boundary value problem*, Discrete Discrete Dyn. Nat. Soc 2013 (2013), Article ID 459161.
36. C. S. GOODRICH: *Existence of a positive solution to a system of discrete fractional boundary value problems*, App. Math. Comput. **217** (9) (2011), 4740–4753.
37. C. S. GOODRICH: *Existence and uniqueness of solutions to a fractional difference equation with nonlocal conditions*, Comput. Math. Appl. **61** (2) (2011), 191–202.
38. A.P. LAVANYA, J. D. L. LOVENIA: *Positive solutions for fractional difference equations using fixed points theorems*, Adv. Inequal. Appl. 2016, 2016: 9.
39. T. ABDELJAWAD1, F. JARAD, D. BALEANU: *A semigroup-like property for discrete Mittag-Leffler functions*, Adv. Difference Equ. 2012, 2012: 72.
40. J. CERMÁK, T. KISELA, AND L. NECHVÁTAL: *Discrete Mittag-Leffler functions in linear fractional difference equations*, Abstr. Appl. Anal. 2011 (2011), Article ID 565067, 21 pages.
41. G-CHENG WU, D. BALEANU, S-DA ZENG, W-HUA LUO: *Mittag-Leffler function for discrete fractional modelling*, J. King Saud University-Science **28** (1)(2016),99–102.

Jehad Alzabut

Department of Mathematics and General Sciences
Prince Sultan University
P. O. Box 66833, 11586 Riyadh, Saudi Arabia
E-mail: jalzabut@psu.edu.sa

(Received 26.06.2016)

(Revised 17.05.2017)

Thabet Abdeljawad

Department of Mathematics and General Sciences
Prince Sultan University
P. O. Box 66833,
11586 Riyadh, Saudi Arabia
E-mail: tabdeljawad@psu.edu.sa