

INTEGRAL NORM ESTIMATES FOR THE POLAR DERIVATIVE OF LACUNARY-TYPE COMPLEX POLYNOMIALS

*Dedicated to Professor Gradimir Milovanović
on the occasion of his 70th birthday*

Abdullah Mir

In this paper, we prove some integral-norm inequalities for the polar derivative of lacunary-type complex polynomials having zeros in closed exterior or closed interior of a circle. The results obtained besides derive polar derivative analogues of some classical Bernstein and Turán-type inequalities for the uniform-norm also include several interesting generalizations and refinements of some integral-norm inequalities for polynomials as well.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $P(z) := \sum_{j=0}^n a_j z^j$ be a polynomial of degree n in the complex plane and $P'(z)$ its derivative. Various versions of Bernstein and Turán-type inequalities for polynomials and related classes of functions are a classical topic in analysis. These inequalities are important tools in obtaining inverse theorems in Approximation theory. One basic result of Bernstein [5] that relates the uniform-norm of the derivative of the polynomial on the unit circle to that of the polynomial itself on the same circle states that: if $P(z)$ is a polynomial of degree n , then it is true that

$$(1) \quad \max_{|z|=1} |P'(z)| \leq n \max_{|z|=1} |P(z)|.$$

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 30A10, 30C10, 30D15.

Keywords and Phrases. Polar derivative of a polynomial, Bernstein's inequality, Uniform-norm.

It is important to mention that the equality in (1) holds if and only if $P(z)$ has all its zeros at the origin, one would expect a relationship between the bound n and the distance of the zeros of the polynomial $P(z)$ from the origin. This fact was observed by Erdős and later verified by Lax [14] by proving that, if $P(z)$ does not vanish in $|z| < 1$, then (1) can be replaced by

$$(2) \quad \max_{|z|=1} |P'(z)| \leq \frac{n}{2} \max_{|z|=1} |P(z)|,$$

whereas, if $P(z)$ has no zeros in $|z| > 1$, then Turán [24] proved that

$$(3) \quad \max_{|z|=1} |P'(z)| \geq \frac{n}{2} \max_{|z|=1} |P(z)|.$$

In 1969, Malik [15] obtained extensions of (2) and (3), under the condition that $P(z) \neq 0$ in $|z| < k$, $k \geq 1$, we have

$$(4) \quad \max_{|z|=1} |P'(z)| \leq \frac{n}{1+k} \max_{|z|=1} |P(z)|,$$

whereas, if $P(z) \neq 0$ in $|z| > k$, $k \leq 1$, we have

$$(5) \quad \max_{|z|=1} |P'(z)| \geq \frac{n}{1+k} \max_{|z|=1} |P(z)|.$$

Chan and Malik [7] generalized (4) in a different direction and proved that, if $P(z) = a_0 + \sum_{j=\mu}^n a_j z^j$, $\mu \geq 1$, is a polynomial of degree n which does not vanish in $|z| < k$, $k \geq 1$, then

$$(6) \quad \max_{|z|=1} |P'(z)| \leq \frac{n}{1+k^\mu} \max_{|z|=1} |P(z)|.$$

On the other hand, Aziz and Shah [4] generalized (5) and proved that, if $P(z) = a_n z^n + \sum_{j=\mu}^n a_{n-j} z^{n-j}$, $\mu \geq 1$, is a polynomial of degree n having all zeros in $|z| \leq k$, $k \leq 1$, then

$$(7) \quad \max_{|z|=1} |P'(z)| \geq \frac{n}{1+k^\mu} \max_{|z|=1} |P(z)|.$$

Inequality (6) was independently proved by Qazi ([21], Lemma 1), who also under the same hypothesis proved that

$$(8) \quad \max_{|z|=1} |P'(z)| \leq \frac{n}{1+\xi_0(\mu)} \max_{|z|=1} |P(z)|,$$

where

$$(9) \quad \xi_0(\mu) = k^{\mu+1} \left(\frac{\left(\frac{\mu}{n}\right) \left|\frac{a_\mu}{a_0}\right| k^{\mu-1} + 1}{\left(\frac{\mu}{n}\right) \left|\frac{a_\mu}{a_0}\right| k^{\mu+1} + 1} \right).$$

If $P(z) = a_0 + \sum_{j=\mu}^n a_j z^j$, $\mu \geq 1$, and $P(z) \neq 0$ in $|z| < k$, $k \geq 1$, the (see [21])

$\frac{\mu}{n} \left| \frac{a_\mu}{a_0} \right| k^\mu \leq 1$, which can also be taken as equivalent to $\xi_0(\mu) \geq k^\mu$. Hence, the inequality (8) is an improvement of (6). As an refinement of (6), Jain [12] proved that, if $P(z) = a_0 + \sum_{j=\mu}^n a_j z^j$, $\mu \geq 1$ and $P(z) \neq 0$ in $|z| < k$, $k \geq 1$, then

$$(10) \quad \max_{|z|=1} |P'(z)| \leq \frac{n}{1+k^\mu} \left\{ \max_{|z|=1} |P(z)| - \frac{1}{k^{n-\mu}} \min_{|z|=k} |P(z)| \right\}.$$

Further, a similar type of modification to inequality (8) was given by Dewan, Singh and Yadav [8] (see also Shah and Liman [23]), who under the same hypothesis proved that

$$(11) \quad \max_{|z|=1} |P'(z)| \leq \left(\frac{n}{1+\xi_0(\mu)} \right) \max_{|z|=1} |P(z)| - \frac{n}{k^n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{1+\xi_0(\mu)} \right) \min_{|z|=k} |P(z)|.$$

For a polynomial $P(z)$ of degree n , now we define so-called the polar derivative of $P(z)$ with respect to the point α as

$$D_\alpha P(z) := nP(z) + (\alpha - z)P'(z).$$

This polynomial $D_\alpha P(z)$ is of degree at most $n - 1$ and it generalizes the ordinary derivative $P'(z)$ in the following sense:

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \frac{D_\alpha P(z)}{\alpha} \right\} := P'(z),$$

uniformly with respect to z for $|z| \leq R$, $R > 0$.

Many of the generalizations of Bernstein and Turán-type inequalities involve the comparison of uniform-norm and L^γ -norm estimates of $D_\alpha P(z)$ with various choices of $P(z)$, α and other parameters. More information on the polar derivative of polynomials can be found in the books of Milovanović et al. [17], Rahman and Schmeisser [22] and Marden [16]. One can also see in the literature (for example, refer [10], [13], [18]- [20]), the latest research and development in this direction. In 2014, Mir and Dar [20] besides proving some other results also established the polar derivative generalizations of (10) and (11). In fact, they proved that, if $P(z) = a_0 + \sum_{j=\mu}^n a_j z^j$, $1 \leq \mu < n$, is a polynomial of degree n and $P(z) \neq 0$ in $|z| < k$, $k \geq 1$, then for every complex number α with $|\alpha| \geq 1$,

$$(12) \quad \max_{|z|=1} |D_\alpha P(z)| \leq n \left(\frac{|\alpha| + \xi_0(\mu)}{1 + \xi_0(\mu)} \right) \max_{|z|=1} |P(z)| - \frac{n(|\alpha| - 1)\xi_0(\mu)}{k^n(1 + \xi_0(\mu))} \min_{|z|=k} |P(z)|,$$

where $\xi_0(\mu)$ is as defined by the formula (9).

By using the fact that $\xi_0(\mu) \geq k^\mu$ for $\mu \geq 1$, they also established from (12) under the same hypothesis that

$$(13) \quad \max_{|z|=1} |D_\alpha P(z)| \leq n \left(\frac{|\alpha| + k^\mu}{1 + k^\mu} \right) \max_{|z|=1} |P(z)| - \frac{n(|\alpha| - 1)}{k^{n-\mu}(1 + k^\mu)} \min_{|z|=k} |P(z)|,$$

giving the corresponding polar derivative generalization of (10). As mentioned earlier, Bernstein and Turán-type inequalities have been extended and generalized in different domains, different norms and for different classes of functions. Zygmund [25] extended the Bernstein-inequality (1) to L^γ -norms of $P(z)$ as

$$\left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} |P'(e^{i\theta})|^\gamma d\theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \leq n \left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} |P(e^{i\theta})|^\gamma d\theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}, \quad \gamma \geq 1,$$

whereas, Arestov [1] validated it for $0 < \gamma < 1$, as well. As an extension of (2) to L^γ -norms, de-Bruijn [6] proved an analogue of Zygmund's result for the class of polynomials not vanishing in $|z| < 1$. Govil and Rahman [11] generalized and sharpened the inequality due to de-Bruijn for polynomials of degree n not vanishing in $|z| < k$, $k \geq 1$ and for any $\gamma \geq 1$. Gardner and Weems [9] not only generalized the above result of Govil and Rahman to lacunary-type of polynomials but also showed that it holds for $0 < \gamma < 1$, as well. Recently, Kumar [13] gave a polar derivative generalization of (8) by first proving an L^γ -norm estimate of the polar derivative $D_\alpha P(z)$ with $|\alpha| \geq 1$ and the result so obtained produced the desired generalization of (8). More precisely, Kumar proved the following result.

Theorem 1.1. If $P(z) = a_0 + \sum_{j=\mu}^n a_j z^j$, $\mu \geq 1$, is a polynomial of degree n having no zeros in $|z| < k$, $k \geq 1$, then for any $\gamma > 0$, and for every complex number α with $|\alpha| \geq 1$,

$$(14) \quad \left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} |D_\alpha P(e^{i\theta})|^\gamma d\theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \leq n(|\alpha| + \xi_0(\mu)) C_\gamma(\xi_0(\mu)) \left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} |P(e^{i\theta})|^\gamma d\theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{\gamma}},$$

where

$$(15) \quad C_\gamma(\xi_0(\mu)) = \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |\xi_0(\mu) + e^{i\theta}|^\gamma d\theta \right\}^{\frac{-1}{\gamma}}$$

and $\xi_0(\mu)$ is as defined by the formula (9).

If we let $\gamma \rightarrow \infty$ in (14), noting that $C_\gamma(\xi_0(\mu)) \rightarrow \frac{1}{1+\xi_0(\mu)}$, we get

$$\max_{|z|=1} |D_\alpha P(z)| \leq n \left(\frac{|\alpha| + \xi_0(\mu)}{1 + \xi_0(\mu)} \right) \max_{|z|=1} |P(z)|,$$

which clearly represents a generalization of (8).

The similar type of extensions to inequalities (6) and (7) were recently established by Mir [18]. The main aim of this paper is to establish some general L^γ -norm inequalities for the polar derivative of a polynomial. Our method of proof may be quite different from the previous methods and the results obtained here derive polar derivative analogues of some classical Bernstein and Turán-type inequalities for the uniform-norm on the unit circle as well.

2. MAIN RESULTS

Here, we first prove the following L^γ -analogue of (12) for every $\gamma > 0$ which generalizes (14) as well. As special cases, some known inequalities that relate the uniform-norm of the derivative of a polynomial on the unit circle to that of the polynomial itself, follows as consequences from this result.

Theorem 2.1. If $P(z) = a_0 + \sum_{j=\mu}^n a_j z^j$, $1 \leq \mu < n$, is a polynomial of degree n having no zeros in $|z| < k$, $k \geq 1$, then for every complex number α with $|\alpha| \geq 1$ and $\gamma > 0$,

$$(16) \quad \left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} \left[|D_\alpha P(e^{i\theta})| + \frac{mn(|\alpha| - 1)\xi_0(\mu)}{k^n(1 + \xi_0(\mu))} \right]^\gamma d\theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \\ \leq n(|\alpha| + \xi_0(\mu)) C_\gamma(\xi_0(\mu)) \left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} |P(e^{i\theta})|^\gamma d\theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{\gamma}},$$

where here and throughout $m = \min_{|z|=k} |P(z)|$, $\xi_0(\mu)$ is as defined by the formula (9) and $C_\gamma(\xi_0(\mu))$ is as defined by the formula (15).

In the limiting case, when $\gamma \rightarrow \infty$, the result is best possible and equality in (16) holds for $P(z) = (z^\mu + k^\mu)^{\frac{n}{\mu}}$, where n is multiple of μ and α real number with $\alpha \geq 1$.

Remark 2.2. If we let $\gamma \rightarrow \infty$ in (16), noting that $C_\gamma(\xi_0(\mu)) \rightarrow \frac{1}{1 + \xi_0(\mu)}$, we get (12). Recall that $P(z) \neq 0$ in $|z| < k$, $k \geq 1$, therefore, as mentioned before, we have $\xi_0(\mu) \geq k^\mu$, $\mu \geq 1$. Also, for every $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, the function

$$x \mapsto \frac{mn(|\alpha| - 1)x}{k^n(1 + x)}, \quad (x \geq 0)$$

is increasing for $|\alpha| \geq 1$, it follows that

$$(17) \quad \frac{mn(|\alpha| - 1)}{k^{n-\mu}(1 + k^\mu)} \leq \frac{mn(|\alpha| - 1)\xi_0(\mu)}{k^n(1 + \xi_0(\mu))}.$$

Further, on taking $a = |\alpha| \geq 1$, $b = \xi_0(\mu)$ and $c = k^\mu$ in Lemma 3.3, we get for each $\gamma > 0$

$$(18) \quad \frac{|\alpha| + \xi_0(\mu)}{\left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} |\xi_0(\mu) + e^{i\theta}|^\gamma d\theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}} \leq \frac{|\alpha| + k^\mu}{\left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} |k^\mu + e^{i\theta}|^\gamma d\theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}}.$$

Using (17) and (18) in Theorem 2.1, we get the following L^γ -norm extension of (13).

Corollary 2.3. If $P(z) = a_0 + \sum_{j=\mu}^n a_j z^j$, $1 \leq \mu < n$, is a polynomial of degree n having no zeros in $|z| < k$, $k \geq 1$, then for every complex number α with $|\alpha| \geq 1$

and $\gamma > 0$,

$$(19) \quad \left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} \left[|D_\alpha P(e^{i\theta})| + \frac{mn(|\alpha| - 1)}{k^{n-\mu}(1 + k^\mu)} \right]^\gamma d\theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \\ \leq n(|\alpha| + k^\mu) C_\gamma(k^\mu) \left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} |P(e^{i\theta})|^\gamma d\theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{\gamma}},$$

where

$$C_\gamma(k^\mu) = \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |k^\mu + e^{i\theta}|^\gamma d\theta \right\}^{\frac{-1}{\gamma}}.$$

In the limiting case, when $\gamma \rightarrow \infty$, the result is best possible and equality in (19) holds for $P(z) = (z^\mu + k^\mu)^{\frac{n}{\mu}}$, where n is multiple of μ and α real number with $\alpha \geq 1$.

Remark 2.4. Dividing both sides of (16) and (19) by $|\alpha|$ and let $|\alpha| \rightarrow \infty$, we get the corresponding L^γ -norm extensions of (11) and (10) respectively.

Several other interesting results easily follow from Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 2.3. Here, we mention a few of these. If we use the fact that for every complex number δ with $|\delta| \leq 1$,

$$\left| e^{i\theta} D_\alpha P(e^{i\theta}) + \frac{mn\delta(|\alpha| - 1)\xi_0(\mu)}{k^n(1 + \xi_0(\mu))} \right| \leq |D_\alpha P(e^{i\theta})| + \frac{mn(|\alpha| - 1)\xi_0(\mu)}{k^n(1 + \xi_0(\mu))}$$

and

$$\left| e^{i\theta} D_\alpha P(e^{i\theta}) + \frac{mn\delta(|\alpha| - 1)}{k^{n-\mu}(1 + k^\mu)} \right| \leq |D_\alpha P(e^{i\theta})| + \frac{mn(|\alpha| - 1)}{k^{n-\mu}(1 + k^\mu)},$$

we get respectively the following results from Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 2.3.

Corollary 2.5. If $P(z) = a_0 + \sum_{j=\mu}^n a_j z^j$, $1 \leq \mu < n$, is a polynomial of degree n having no zeros in $|z| < k$, $k \geq 1$, then for every complex numbers α , δ with $|\alpha| \geq 1$, $|\delta| \leq 1$ and $\gamma > 0$,

$$(20) \quad \left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} \left| e^{i\theta} D_\alpha P(e^{i\theta}) + \frac{\delta mn(|\alpha| - 1)\xi_0(\mu)}{k^n(1 + \xi_0(\mu))} \right|^\gamma d\theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \\ \leq n(|\alpha| + \xi_0(\mu)) C_\gamma(\xi_0(\mu)) \left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} |P(e^{i\theta})|^\gamma d\theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{\gamma}},$$

where $\xi_0(\mu)$ is defined by the formula (9) and $C_\gamma(\xi_0(\mu))$ is defined by the formula (15).

In the limiting case, when $\gamma \rightarrow \infty$, the result is best possible and equality in (20)

holds for $P(z) = (z^\mu + k^\mu)^{\frac{n}{\mu}}$, where n is multiple of μ and α real number with $\alpha \geq 1$.

Corollary 2.6. If $P(z) = a_0 + \sum_{j=\mu}^n a_j z^j$, $1 \leq \mu < n$, is a polynomial of degree n having no zeros in $|z| < k$, $k \geq 1$, then for every complex numbers α , δ with $|\alpha| \geq 1$, $|\delta| \leq 1$ and $\gamma > 0$,

$$(21) \quad \left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} \left| e^{i\theta} D_\alpha P(e^{i\theta}) + \frac{\delta mn(|\alpha| - 1)}{k^{n-\mu}(1+k^\mu)} \right|^\gamma d\theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \\ \leq n(|\alpha| + k^\mu) C_\gamma(k^\mu) \left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} |P(e^{i\theta})|^\gamma d\theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{\gamma}},$$

where $C_\gamma(k^\mu)$ is as defined in Corollary 2.3.

In the limiting case, when $\gamma \rightarrow \infty$, the result is best possible and equality in (21) holds for $P(z) = (z^\mu + k^\mu)^{\frac{n}{\mu}}$, where n is multiple of μ and α real number with $\alpha \geq 1$.

Remark 2.7. If we take $\delta = 0$ in (20), we get (14). In the same way, if we put $\delta = 0$ in (21), we get the polar derivative analogue of an integral inequality due to Gardner and Weems [9].

As an application of Theorem 2.1, we shall also prove the following result which provides a generalization and refinement of (7) and many related results.

Theorem 2.8. If $P(z) = a_n z^n + \sum_{j=\mu}^n a_{n-j} z^{n-j}$, $1 \leq \mu < n$, $a_0 \neq 0$ is a polynomial of degree n having all its zeros in $|z| \leq k$, $k \leq 1$, then for every complex number β with $|\beta| \leq 1$ and $\gamma > 0$,

$$(22) \quad \left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} \left[|D_\beta P(e^{i\theta})| + \frac{(1-|\beta|)mn}{1+\zeta(\mu)} \right]^\gamma d\theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \\ \leq n(|\beta| + \zeta(\mu)) C_\gamma(\zeta(\mu)) \left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} |P(e^{i\theta})|^\gamma d\theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{\gamma}},$$

where

$$\zeta(\mu) = \frac{n|a_n|k^{2\mu} + \mu|a_{n-\mu}|k^{\mu-1}}{n|a_n|k^{\mu-1} + \mu|a_{n-\mu}|}$$

and

$$C_\gamma(\zeta(\mu)) = \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |\zeta(\mu) + e^{i\theta}|^\gamma d\theta \right\}^{\frac{-1}{\gamma}}.$$

In the limiting case, when $\gamma \rightarrow \infty$, the result is best possible and equality in (22) holds for $P(z) = (z^\mu + k^\mu)^{\frac{n}{\mu}}$, where n is multiple of μ and β a real number with

$\beta \leq 1$.

Remark 2.9. Since $P(z) = a_n z^n + \sum_{j=\mu}^n a_{n-j} z^{n-j}$, $1 \leq \mu < n$, has all its zeros in $|z| \leq k$, $k \leq 1$, and $a_0 \neq 0$, therefore, the reciprocal polynomial $Q(z) = z^n \overline{P(\frac{1}{z})}$ has all its zeros in $|z| \geq \frac{1}{k}$, $\frac{1}{k} \geq 1$. Thus (for example see [21]), we have

$$\frac{\mu}{n} \left| \frac{a_{n-\mu}}{a_n} \right| \leq k^\mu,$$

which can also be taken as equivalent to $\zeta(\mu) \leq k^\mu$. Taking $a = \frac{1}{|\beta|} \geq 1$, $b = \frac{1}{\zeta(\mu)}$, $c = \frac{1}{k^\mu}$ in Lemma 3.3, we get for each $\gamma > 0$,

$$\frac{\frac{1}{|\beta|} + \frac{1}{\zeta(\mu)}}{\left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} \left| e^{i\theta} + \frac{1}{\zeta(\mu)} \right|^\gamma d\theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}} \leq \frac{\frac{1}{|\beta|} + \frac{1}{k^\mu}}{\left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} \left| e^{i\theta} + \frac{1}{k^\mu} \right|^\gamma d\theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}},$$

or

$$\frac{\zeta(\mu) + |\beta|}{\left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} |e^{i\theta} + \zeta(\mu)|^\gamma d\theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}} \leq \frac{k^\mu + |\beta|}{\left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} |e^{i\theta} + k^\mu|^\gamma d\theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}}.$$

Using these observations and the fact that the function

$$x \mapsto \frac{(1 - |\beta|)mn}{1 + x}, \quad (x \geq 0)$$

is decreasing for $|\beta| \leq 1$, we have the following result which in particular gives an extension of (7).

Corollary 2.10. If $P(z) = a_n z^n + \sum_{j=\mu}^n a_{n-j} z^{n-j}$, $1 \leq \mu < n$, $a_0 \neq 0$ is a polynomial of degree n having all its zeros in $|z| \leq k$, $k \leq 1$, then for every complex number β with $|\beta| \leq 1$ and $\gamma > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} \left[|D_\beta P(e^{i\theta})| + \frac{mn(1 - |\beta|)}{1 + k^\mu} \right]^\gamma d\theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \\ (23) \quad & \leq n(k^\mu + |\beta|) C_\gamma(k^\mu) \left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} |P(e^{i\theta})|^\gamma d\theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $C_\gamma(k^\mu)$ is as defined in Corollary 2.3.

In the limiting case, when $\gamma \rightarrow \infty$, the result is best possible and equality in (23) holds for $P(z) = (z^\mu + k^\mu)^{\frac{n}{\mu}}$, where n is multiple of μ and β a real number with $\beta \leq 1$.

If we let $\gamma \rightarrow \infty$ in (23), noting that $C_\gamma(k^\mu) \rightarrow \frac{1}{1+k^\mu}$, we get under the same hypothesis that

$$(24) \quad \max_{|z|=1} |D_\beta P(z)| \leq n \left(\frac{k^\mu + |\beta|}{1 + k^\mu} \right) \max_{|z|=1} |P(z)| - n \left(\frac{1 - |\beta|}{1 + k^\mu} \right) \min_{|z|=k} |P(z)|.$$

If we take $\beta = 0$ in (24), we get for $|z| = 1$,

$$(25) \quad |nP(z) - zP'(z)| \leq \frac{nk^\mu}{1+k^\mu} \max_{|z|=1} |P(z)| - \frac{n}{1+k^\mu} \min_{|z|=k} |P(z)|.$$

Let z_0 be on $|z| = 1$ such that $\max_{|z|=1} |P(z)| = |P(z_0)|$, then from (25), we get

$$|P'(z_0)| \geq \frac{n}{1+k^\mu} \left(\max_{|z|=1} |P(z)| + \min_{|z|=k} |P(z)| \right),$$

which by using the fact that $\max_{|z|=1} |P'(z)| \geq |P'(z_0)|$, gives a refinement of (7).

Remark 2.11. It is easy to see that Theorem 2.8 strengthens a recently proved result due to Kumar ([13], Theorem 3) and Corollary 2.10 sharpens a result of Mir ([18], Theorem 2).

Finally, in this paper, we consider the class of polynomials having a zero of order t at the origin and the rest of zeros outside or on the circle $|z| = k$, $k \geq 1$ and prove the following result which generalizes Theorem 2.1 and related polynomial inequalities for the uniform-norm as well.

Theorem 2.12. If $P(z) = z^t \left(a_0 + \sum_{j=\mu}^{n-t} a_j z^j \right)$, $1 \leq \mu < n - t$, $0 \leq t < n - 1$, is a polynomial of degree n having t -fold zeros at the origin and the remaining $n - t$ zeros in $|z| \geq k$, $k \geq 1$, then for every complex number α with $|\alpha| \geq 1$ and $\gamma \geq 1$,

$$(26) \quad \left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} \left[|D_\alpha P(e^{i\theta})| + \frac{m(n-t)(|\alpha|-1)\xi_t(\mu)}{k^n(1+\xi_t(\mu))} \right]^\gamma d\theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \\ \leq \{ (n-t)(|\alpha| + \xi_t(\mu))C_\gamma(\xi_t(\mu)) + t|\alpha| \} \left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} |P(e^{i\theta})|^\gamma d\theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{\gamma}},$$

where

$$\xi_t(\mu) = k^{\mu+1} \left\{ \frac{\left(\frac{\mu}{n-t}\right) \left| \frac{a_\mu}{a_0} \right| k^{\mu-1} + 1}{\left(\frac{\mu}{n-t}\right) \left| \frac{a_\mu}{a_0} \right| k^{\mu+1} + 1} \right\}$$

and

$$C_\gamma(\xi_t(\mu)) = \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |\xi_t(\mu) + e^{i\theta}|^\gamma d\theta \right\}^{\frac{-1}{\gamma}}.$$

For $t = 0$, Theorem 2.12 reduces to Theorem 2.1 for $\gamma \geq 1$.

If we let $\gamma \rightarrow \infty$ in (26), we immediately get the following result.

Corollary 2.13. If $P(z) = z^t \left(a_0 + \sum_{j=\mu}^{n-t} a_j z^j \right)$, $1 \leq \mu < n - t$, $0 \leq t < n - 1$, is a polynomial of degree n having t -fold zeros at the origin and the remaining $n - t$

zeros in $|z| \geq k$, $k \geq 1$, then for any complex number α with $|\alpha| \geq 1$,

$$(27) \quad \begin{aligned} \max_{|z|=1} |D_\alpha P(z)| &\leq \left(\frac{n(|\alpha| + \xi_t(\mu)) + t(|\alpha| - 1)\xi_t(\mu)}{1 + \xi_t(\mu)} \right) \max_{|z|=1} |P(z)| \\ &- \left(\frac{(n-t)(|\alpha| - 1)\xi_t(\mu)}{k^n(1 + \xi_t(\mu))} \right) \min_{|z|=k} |P(z)|, \end{aligned}$$

where $\xi_t(\mu)$ is as defined in Theorem 2.12.

Remark 2.14. It is easy to verify for example by the derivative test that for every complex number α with $|\alpha| \geq 1$, the function

$$x \mapsto \left(\frac{n(|\alpha| + x) + t(|\alpha| - 1)x}{1 + x} \right) \max_{|z|=1} |P(z)| - \left(\frac{(n-t)(|\alpha| - 1)x}{k^n(1 + x)} \right) \min_{|z|=k} |P(z)|$$

is a non-increasing function of x . If we combine this with $\xi_t(\mu) \geq k^\mu$, we get a refinement of the following result due to Mir and Dar ([20], Theorem 2).

Theorem 2.15. If $P(z) = z^t \left(a_0 + \sum_{j=\mu}^{n-t} a_j z^j \right)$, $1 \leq \mu < n - t$, $0 \leq t < n - 1$, is a polynomial of degree n having t -fold zeros at the origin and the remaining $n - t$ zeros in $|z| \geq k$, $k \geq 1$, then for every complex number α with $|\alpha| \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{|z|=1} |D_\alpha P(z)| &\leq \left(\frac{n(|\alpha| + k^\mu) + t(|\alpha| - 1)k^\mu}{1 + k^\mu} \right) \max_{|z|=1} |P(z)| \\ &- \left(\frac{(n-t)(|\alpha| - 1)}{k^{n-\mu}(1 + k^\mu)} \right) \min_{|z|=k} |P(z)|. \end{aligned}$$

3. LEMMAS

We need the following lemmas to prove our theorems. The following lemma is due to Mir and Dar [20].

Lemma 3.1. If $P(z) = a_0 + \sum_{j=\mu}^n a_j z^j$, $1 \leq \mu < n$, is a polynomial of degree n having no zeros in $|z| < k$, $k \geq 1$, then on $|z| = 1$,

$$|Q'(z)| \geq \xi_0(\mu) |P'(z)| + \frac{mn\xi_0(\mu)}{k^n},$$

where here and throughout $Q(z) = z^n \overline{P(\frac{1}{\bar{z}})}$ and $\xi_0(\mu)$ is as defined by the formula (9).

Lemma 3.2. Let v, w be any two positive real numbers such that $(w-h) \geq (v+h)x$, where $h \geq 0$ and $x \geq 1$. Then for any real number β with $0 \leq \beta < 2\pi$, it is true that

$$(28) \quad [(w-h) + (v+h)y]|x + e^{i\beta}| \leq (x+y)|w + ve^{i\beta}|,$$

for any $y \geq 1$.

Proof of Lemma 3.2. For $h = 0$, the above lemma was recently proved by Govil

and Kumar ([10], Lemma 2.2), see also Kumar ([13], Lemma 2). Hence, assume that $h > 0$. Since $x \geq 1$, it can be easily seen for $0 \leq \beta < 2\pi$, that

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{1}{x + e^{i\beta}} \right) \geq \frac{1}{x + 1}.$$

Also, the hypothesis $(w - h) \geq (v + h)x$, with $h > 0$ and $x \geq 1$ imply $w \geq vx$, where $v > 0$ and $w > 0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left| v + \frac{w - vx}{x + e^{i\beta}} \right| &\geq \operatorname{Re} \left\{ v + \frac{w - vx}{x + e^{i\beta}} \right\} \\ &= v + (w - vx) \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{1}{x + e^{i\beta}} \right) \\ &\geq v + \frac{w - vx}{x + 1} \\ &= \frac{w + v}{x + 1}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\left| \frac{x + e^{i\beta}}{w + ve^{i\beta}} \right| \leq \frac{x + 1}{w + v}.$$

Again, using $(w - h) \geq (v + h)x$ with $h > 0$ and $y \geq 1$, one can easily check that

$$\frac{x + 1}{w + v} \leq \frac{x + y}{w + vy + (y - 1)h}.$$

Thus, we have

$$\left| \frac{x + e^{i\beta}}{w + ve^{i\beta}} \right| \leq \frac{x + y}{(w - h) + (v + h)y},$$

which is (28) and this completes the proof of Lemma 3.2.

Lemma 3.3. If $a \geq 1$, $b \geq c \geq 1$ and $\gamma > 0$, then

$$\frac{a + b}{\left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} |e^{i\theta} + b|^\gamma d\theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}} \leq \frac{a + c}{\left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} |e^{i\theta} + c|^\gamma d\theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}}.$$

The above lemma is due to Govil and Kumar ([10], Lemma 2.6), see also Kumar [13]. The following lemma is due to Aziz and Shah [3].

Lemma 3.4. If $P(z)$ is a polynomial of degree n , then for every $\gamma > 0$ and β real,

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |Q'(e^{i\theta}) + e^{i\beta} P'(e^{i\theta})|^\gamma d\theta d\beta \leq 2\pi n^\gamma \int_0^{2\pi} |P(e^{i\theta})|^\gamma d\theta.$$

4. PROOFS OF THE THEOREMS

Proof of Theorem 2.1. By hypothesis $P(z) = a_0 + \sum_{j=\mu}^n a_j z^j \neq 0$ in $|z| < k$, $k \geq 1$, therefore, by Lemma 3.1, for each θ , $0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$, we have

$$\xi_0(\mu)|P'(e^{i\theta})| \leq |Q'(e^{i\theta})| - \frac{mn\xi_0(\mu)}{k^n},$$

where $\xi_0(\mu)$ is defined in the formula (9).

This gives

$$\xi_0(\mu) \left\{ |P'(e^{i\theta})| + \frac{mn\xi_0(\mu)}{k^n(1+\xi_0(\mu))} \right\} \leq |Q'(e^{i\theta})| - \frac{mn\xi_0(\mu)}{k^n(1+\xi_0(\mu))}.$$

Taking $w = |Q'(e^{i\theta})|$, $v = |P'(e^{i\theta})|$, $h = \frac{mn\xi_0(\mu)}{k^n(1+\xi_0(\mu))}$ and $y = |\alpha| \geq 1$ in Lemma 3.2, we get for ϕ real that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\left(|Q'(e^{i\theta})| - \frac{mn\xi_0(\mu)}{k^n(1+\xi_0(\mu))} \right) + |\alpha| \left(|P'(e^{i\theta})| + \frac{mn\xi_0(\mu)}{k^n(1+\xi_0(\mu))} \right) \right] |\xi_0(\mu) + e^{i\phi}| \\ (29) \quad & \leq (\xi_0(\mu) + |\alpha|) \left| |Q'(e^{i\theta})| + e^{i\phi} |P'(e^{i\theta})| \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Since $Q(z) = z^n \overline{P\left(\frac{1}{\bar{z}}\right)}$, therefore, $P(z) = z^n \overline{Q\left(\frac{1}{\bar{z}}\right)}$ and it can be easily verified that, for $0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$,

$$nP(e^{i\theta}) - e^{i\theta} P'(e^{i\theta}) = e^{i(n-1)\theta} Q'(e^{i\theta}),$$

as well as for the polar derivative

$$D_\alpha P(e^{i\theta}) = nP(e^{i\theta}) + (\alpha - e^{i\theta})P'(e^{i\theta}),$$

where $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. Using these equalities, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (30) \quad |D_\alpha P(e^{i\theta})| & \leq |nP(e^{i\theta}) - e^{i\theta} P'(e^{i\theta})| + |\alpha| |P'(e^{i\theta})| \\ & = |Q'(e^{i\theta})| + |\alpha| |P'(e^{i\theta})|. \end{aligned}$$

The above inequality (30) gives for every $|\alpha| \geq 1$ and $\gamma > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{2\pi} |\xi_0(\mu) + e^{i\phi}|^\gamma d\phi \int_0^{2\pi} \left[|D_\alpha P(e^{i\theta})| + \frac{mn(|\alpha| - 1)\xi_0(\mu)}{k^n(1 + \xi_0(\mu))} \right]^\gamma d\theta \\ &= \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |\xi_0(\mu) + e^{i\phi}|^\gamma \left[|D_\alpha P(e^{i\theta})| + \frac{mn(|\alpha| - 1)\xi_0(\mu)}{k^n(1 + \xi_0(\mu))} \right]^\gamma d\theta d\phi \\ &\leq \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |\xi_0(\mu) + e^{i\phi}|^\gamma \left[|Q'(e^{i\theta})| + |\alpha| |P'(e^{i\theta})| + \frac{mn(|\alpha| - 1)\xi_0(\mu)}{k^n(1 + \xi_0(\mu))} \right]^\gamma d\theta d\phi \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |\xi_0(\mu) + e^{i\phi}|^\gamma \left[\left(|Q'(e^{i\theta})| - \frac{mn\xi_0(\mu)}{k^n(1 + \xi_0(\mu))} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + |\alpha| \left(|P'(e^{i\theta})| + \frac{mn\xi_0(\mu)}{k^n(1 + \xi_0(\mu))} \right) \right]^\gamma d\theta d\phi \\ &\leq (\xi_0(\mu) + |\alpha|)^\gamma \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left| |Q'(e^{i\theta})| + e^{i\phi} |P'(e^{i\theta})| \right|^\gamma d\theta d\phi. \quad (\text{by (29)}) \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

Since for each $\gamma > 0$ and arbitrary $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$ with ϕ real, the equality

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |a + e^{i\phi}b|^\gamma d\phi = \int_0^{2\pi} (|a| + |e^{i\phi}b|)^\gamma d\phi$$

holds, using this and Lemma 3.4 in (31), we obtain for each $\gamma > 0$ and $|\alpha| \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{2\pi} |\xi_0(\mu) + e^{i\phi}|^\gamma d\phi \int_0^{2\pi} \left[|D_\alpha P(e^{i\theta})| + \frac{mn(|\alpha| - 1)\xi_0(\mu)}{k^n(1 + \xi_0(\mu))} \right]^\gamma d\theta \\ &\leq (\xi_0(\mu) + |\alpha|)^\gamma \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left| |Q'(e^{i\theta})| + e^{i\phi} |P'(e^{i\theta})| \right|^\gamma d\phi d\theta \\ &\leq (\xi_0(\mu) + |\alpha|)^\gamma 2\pi n^\gamma \int_0^{2\pi} |P'(e^{i\theta})|^\gamma d\theta, \end{aligned}$$

which on raising the power $\frac{1}{\gamma}$ on both sides gives inequality (16). This completes the proof of Theorem 2.1.

Proof of Theorem 2.8. By hypothesis $P(z) = a_n z^n + \sum_{j=\mu}^n a_{n-j} z^{n-j}$, $1 \leq \mu < n$,

has all its zeros in $|z| \leq k$, $k \leq 1$, and $a_0 \neq 0$. Therefore, the polynomial $Q(z) = z^n \overline{P\left(\frac{1}{\bar{z}}\right)}$ of degree n has no zeros in $|z| < \frac{1}{k}$, $\frac{1}{k} \geq 1$. Applying the inequality (16) to $Q(z)$, we get for every complex number α with $|\alpha| \geq 1$ and $\gamma > 0$,

$$(33) \quad \left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} \left[|D_\alpha Q(e^{i\theta})| + \frac{m'n(|\alpha| - 1)\xi'_0(\mu)}{\frac{1}{k^n}(1 + \xi'_0(\mu))} \right]^\gamma d\theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \\ \leq n(|\alpha| + \xi'_0(\mu)) C_\gamma(\xi'_0(\mu)) \left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} |Q(e^{i\theta})|^\gamma d\theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{\gamma}},$$

where

$$m' = \min_{|z|=\frac{1}{k}} |Q(z)| = \frac{1}{k^n} \min_{|z|=k} |P(z)| = \frac{m}{k^n},$$

$$\xi'_0(\mu) = \frac{1}{k^{\mu+1}} \left(\frac{\frac{\mu}{n} \left| \frac{a_{n-\mu}}{a_n} \right| \frac{1}{k^{\mu-1}} + 1}{\frac{\mu}{n} \left| \frac{a_{n-\mu}}{a_n} \right| \frac{1}{k^{\mu+1}} + 1} \right) \\ = \frac{\mu |a_{n-\mu}| + n |a_n| k^{\mu-1}}{\mu |a_{n-\mu}| k^{\mu-1} + n |a_n| k^{2\mu}} \\ = \frac{1}{\zeta(\mu)}$$

$$\text{and } C_\gamma(\xi'_0(\mu)) = C_\gamma \left(\frac{1}{\zeta(\mu)} \right) \\ = \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \frac{1}{\zeta(\mu)} + e^{i\theta} \right|^\gamma d\theta \right\}^{\frac{-1}{\gamma}} \\ = \zeta(\mu) \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |1 + \zeta(\mu) e^{i\theta}|^\gamma d\theta \right\}^{\frac{-1}{\gamma}} \\ = \zeta(\mu) \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |\zeta(\mu) + e^{i\theta}|^\gamma d\theta \right\}^{\frac{-1}{\gamma}} \\ = \zeta(\mu) C_\gamma(\zeta(\mu)).$$

Using these observations and $|Q(e^{i\theta})| = |P(e^{i\theta})|$ for $0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$ in (33), we obtain

for $|\alpha| \geq 1$ and $\gamma > 0$, that

$$(34) \quad \left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} \left[|D_\alpha Q(e^{i\theta})| + \frac{mn(|\alpha| - 1)}{1 + \zeta(\mu)} \right]^\gamma d\theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \\ \leq n(|\alpha|\zeta(\mu) + 1)C_\gamma(\zeta(\mu)) \left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} |P(e^{i\theta})|^\gamma d\theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}.$$

It is easy to verify (for example, see [2]), that for $|\alpha| \neq 0$,

$$|D_\alpha Q(e^{i\theta})| = |\bar{\alpha}| \left| D_{\frac{1}{\alpha}} P(e^{i\theta}) \right|,$$

which on using in (34), gives for $|\alpha| \geq 1$ and $\gamma > 0$,

$$(35) \quad |\alpha| \left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} \left[\left| D_{\frac{1}{\alpha}} P(e^{i\theta}) \right| + \frac{mn \left(1 - \frac{1}{|\alpha|} \right)}{1 + \zeta(\mu)} \right]^\gamma d\theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \\ \leq n(|\alpha|\zeta(\mu) + 1)C_\gamma(\zeta(\mu)) \left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} |P(e^{i\theta})|^\gamma d\theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}.$$

Replacing $\frac{1}{\alpha}$ by β so that $|\beta| \leq 1$, we obtain from (35) that for each $\gamma > 0$,

$$\left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} \left[|D_\beta P(e^{i\theta})| + \frac{(1 - |\beta|)mn}{1 + \zeta(\mu)} \right]^\gamma d\theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \\ \leq n(|\beta| + \zeta(\mu))C_\gamma(\zeta(\mu)) \left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} |P(e^{i\theta})|^\gamma d\theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{\gamma}},$$

which is (22) and this completes the proof of Theorem 2.8.

Proof of Theorem 2.12. If $P(z) = z^t \rho(z)$, where $\rho(z) = a_0 + \sum_{j=\mu}^{n-t} a_j z^j$, $1 \leq \mu < n - t$, is a polynomial of degree $n - t$ having no zeros in $|z| \leq k$, $k \geq 1$. Applying the inequality (16) to the polynomial $\rho(z)$, we get for $|\alpha| \geq 1$ and $\gamma \geq 1$,

$$(36) \quad \left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} \left[|D_\alpha \rho(z)| + \frac{m'n(|\alpha| - 1)\xi_t(\mu)}{k^{n-t}(1 + \xi_t(\mu))} \right]^\gamma d\theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \\ \leq \frac{(n - t)(|\alpha| + \xi_t(\mu))}{\left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |\xi_t(\mu) + e^{i\beta}|^\gamma d\beta \right\}^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}} \left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} |\rho(e^{i\theta})|^\gamma d\theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{\gamma}},$$

where $m' = \min_{|z|=k} |\rho(z)| = \frac{1}{k^t} \min_{|z|=k} |P(z)| = \frac{m}{k^t}$
and $\xi_t(\mu)$ is as defined in Theorem 2.12.

Now

$$\begin{aligned} D_\alpha P(z) &= nP(z) + (\alpha - z)P'(z) \\ &= nz^t \rho(z) + (\alpha - z) \left(tz^{t-1} \rho(z) + z^t \rho'(z) \right) \\ &= z^t \left((n-t)\rho(z) + (\alpha - z)\rho'(z) \right) + \alpha tz^{t-1} \rho(z) \\ &= z^t D_\alpha \rho(z) + \alpha tz^{t-1} \rho(z), \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$(37) \quad zD_\alpha P(z) = z^{t+1} D_\alpha \rho(z) + \alpha tP(z).$$

Hence for $0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$, we get from (37) that

$$\begin{aligned} |D_\alpha P(e^{i\theta})| &= |e^{i(t+1)\theta} D_\alpha \rho(e^{i\theta}) + \alpha tP(e^{i\theta})| \\ &\leq |D_\alpha \rho(e^{i\theta})| + t|\alpha| |P(e^{i\theta})|, \end{aligned}$$

which gives, by using Minkowski's inequality for $\gamma \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} \left[|D_\alpha P(e^{i\theta})| + \frac{mn(|\alpha| - 1)\xi_t(\mu)}{k^n(1 + \xi_t(\mu))} \right]^\gamma d\theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \\ &\leq \left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} \left[|D_\alpha \rho(e^{i\theta})| + \frac{mn(|\alpha| - 1)\xi_t(\mu)}{k^n(1 + \xi_t(\mu))} + t|\alpha| |P(e^{i\theta})| \right]^\gamma d\theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \\ (38) \quad &\leq \left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} \left[|D_\alpha \rho(e^{i\theta})| + \frac{mn(|\alpha| - 1)\xi_t(\mu)}{k^n(1 + \xi_t(\mu))} \right]^\gamma d\theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} + t|\alpha| \left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} |P(e^{i\theta})|^\gamma d\theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}. \end{aligned}$$

Using (36) in (38) and noting that $|\rho(e^{i\theta})| = |e^{it\theta} \rho(e^{i\theta})| = |P(e^{i\theta})|$, it follows that for every $|\alpha| \geq 1$ and $\gamma \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} \left[|D_\alpha P(e^{i\theta})| + \frac{mn(|\alpha| - 1)\xi_t(\mu)}{k^n(1 + \xi_t(\mu))} \right]^\gamma d\theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \\ &\leq \left\{ \frac{(n-t)(|\alpha| + \xi_t(\mu))}{\left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |\xi_t(\mu) + e^{i\beta}|^\gamma d\beta \right\}^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}} + t|\alpha| \right\} \left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} |P(e^{i\theta})|^\gamma d\theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}, \end{aligned}$$

which is (26) and this completes the proof of Theorem 2.12.

REFERENCES

1. V. V. ARESTOV: *On integral inequalities for trigonometric polynomials and their derivatives*. Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Mat., **45** (1981), 3–22.
2. A. AZIZ: *Inequalities for the polar derivative of a polynomial*. J. Approx. Theory, **55** (1988), 183–193.
3. A. AZIZ AND W. M. SHAH: *L^q inequalities for polynomials with restricted zeros*. Glasnik Mate., **32** (1997), 247–258.
4. A. AZIZ AND W. M. SHAH: *An integral mean estimate for polynomials*. Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., **28** (1997), 1413–1419.
5. S. BERNSTEIN: *Sur l'ordre de la meilleure approximation des fonctions continues par des polynômes de degré donné*. Mem. Acad. R. Belg., **4** (1912), 1–103.
6. N. G. DE-BRUIJN: *Inequalities concerning polynomials in the complex domain*. Nederl. Akad. Wetnesch Proc., **50** (1947), 1265–1272.
7. T. N. CHAN AND M. A. MALIK: *On Erdős-Lax theorem*. Proc. Indian Acad. Sci., **92** (1983), 191–193.
8. K. K. DEWAN, N. SINGH AND R. S. YADAV: *Inequalities concerning polynomials having zeros in closed exterior or closed interior of a circle*. Southeast Asian Bull. Math., **27** (2003), 591–597.
9. R. B. GARDNER AND A. WEEMS: *A Bernstein type L^p inequality for a certain class of polynomials*. J. Math. Anal. Appl., **219** (1998), 472–478.
10. N. K. GOVIL AND P. KUMAR: *On L^p inequalities involving polar derivative of a polynomial*. Acta Math. Hung., **152** (2017), 130–139.
11. N. K. GOVIL AND Q. I. RAHMAN: *Functions of exponential type not vanishing in a half-plane and related polynomials*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **137** (1969), 501–517.
12. V. K. JAIN: *On polynomials having zeros in closed exterior or closed interior of a circle*. Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., **30** (1999), 153–159.
13. P. KUMAR: *On Zygmund-type inequalities involving polar derivative of a lacunary-type polynomial*. Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. Roumanie Tome, **62** (2019), 163–172.
14. P. D. LAX: *Proof of a conjecture of P. Erdős on the derivative of a polynomial*. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **50** (1944), 509–513.
15. M. A. MALIK: *On the derivative of a polynomial*. J. Lond. Math. Soc., **1** (1969), 57–60.
16. M. MARDEN: *Geometry of Polynomials*. Math. Surveys, No. 3, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence R.I., 1966.
17. G. V. MILOVANOVIĆ, D. S. MITRINOVIĆ AND TH. M. RASSIAS: *Topics in Polynomials, Extremal Problems, Inequalities, Zeros*. World Scientific, Singapore, 1994.

18. A. MIR: *Bernstein type integral inequalities for a certain class of polynomials*. Mediterranean J. Math., **16** (2019), (Art. 143) pp. 1-11.
19. A. MIR AND I. HUSSAIN: *On the Erdős-Lax inequality concerning polynomials*. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. I, **355** (2017), 1055–1062.
20. A. MIR AND B. DAR: *On the polar derivative of a polynomial*. J. Ramanujan Math. Soc., **29** (2014), 403–412.
21. M. A. QAZI: *On the maximum modulus of polynomials*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **115** (1992), 337–343.
22. Q. I. RAHMAN AND G. SCHMEISSER: *Analytic Theory of Polynomials*. Oxford University Press, 2002.
23. W. M. SHAH AND A. LIMAN: *Integral mean estimates for polynomials with restricted zeros*. Tamsui Oxford Jour. Inform. Math. Sci., **27** (2011), 165–181.
24. P. TURÁN: *Über die Ableitung von Polynomen*. Compositio Math., **7** (1939), 89–95.
25. A. ZYGMUND: *A remark on conjugate series*. Proc. London Math. Soc., **34** (1932), 392–400.

Abdullah Mir

Department of Mathematics,
University of Kashmir
Hazaratbal,
Srinagar, 190006
E-mail: drabmir@yahoo.com

(Received 12.04.2020)

(Revised 11.10.2020)