

ON SOME NEW SEQUENCE SPACES OF ORDER α
DEFINED BY INFINITE MATRIX

*Dedicated to Academician Professor Gradimir Milovanović
on the occasion of his 70th birthday.*

Ekrem Savas

The goal of this paper is to study some new sequence spaces of order α that are defined using modulus function and infinite matrix A .

1. INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

Following Ruckle [10] and Maddox [6], we recall that a modulus f is a function $f : [0, \infty)$ to $[0, \infty)$ such that

- (i) $f(x) = 0$ if and only if $x = 0$,
- (ii) $f(x + y) \leq f(x) + f(y)$ for all $x, y \geq 0$,
- (iii) f increasing,
- (iv) f is continuous from the right at zero.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 40H05, 40C05.
Keywords and Phrases. Modulus function, Almost convergence, Lacunary sequence, φ -function, order α , infinite matrix.

Since $|f(x) - f(y)| \leq f(|x - y|)$, it follows from condition (iv) that f is continuous on $[0, \infty)$.

A modulus may be bounded or unbounded. For example, $f(x) = x^p$, for $0 < p \leq 1$ is unbounded, but $f(x) = \frac{x}{1+x}$ is bounded.

Ruckle used the idea of a modulus function f to construct a class of FK spaces

$$L(f) = \left\{ x = (x_k) : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f(|x_k|) < \infty \right\}$$

The space $L(f)$ is closely related to the space l_1 which is an $L(f)$ space with $f(x) = x$ for all real $x \geq 0$.

Maddox [6] introduced and examined some properties of the sequence spaces $w_0(f)$, $w(f)$ and $w_\infty(f)$ defined using a modulus f , which generalized the well-known spaces w_0 , w and w_∞ of strongly summable sequences.

Recently E. Savas [11] generalized the concept of strong convergence by using a modulus f and examined some properties of the corresponding new sequence spaces.

Subsequently a lot of interesting investigations have been done by various authors on several related notions of modulus function (see for example [7, 8, 9, 11, 13]).

By a lacunary $\theta = (k_r)$; $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ where $k_0 = 0$, we shall mean an increasing sequence of non-negative integers with $k_r - k_{r-1} \rightarrow \infty$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$. The intervals determined by θ will be denoted by $I_r = (k_{r-1}, k_r]$ and $h_r = k_r - k_{r-1}$. The ratio $\frac{k_r}{k_{r-1}}$ will be denoted by q_r . The space of lacunary strongly convergent sequences N_θ was defined by Freedman et al [4] as follows:

$$N_\theta = \left\{ x = (x_k) : \lim_r \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} |x_k - L| = 0, \text{ for some } L \right\}.$$

There is a strong connection between N_θ and the space w of strongly Cesàro summable sequences which is defined below,

$$w = \left\{ x = (x_k) : \lim_n \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^n |x_k - L| = 0, \text{ for some } L \right\}.$$

In the special case where $\theta = (2^r)$, we have $N_\theta = w$, (see, [5]).

Let $A = (a_{nk})$ be a nonnegative regular matrix summability method. Maddox's results are extended by Connor [2] as follows:

Definition 1. Let f be a modulus and A be a nonnegative regular summability method. We let

$$w(A, f) = \left\{ x : \lim_n \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} f(|x_k - L|) = 0 \right\}$$

and

$$w(A, f)_0 = \left\{ x : \lim_n \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} f(|x_k|) = 0 \right\}.$$

By a φ -function we understood a continuous non-decreasing function $\varphi(u)$ defined for $u \geq 0$ and such that $\varphi(0) = 0, \varphi(u) > 0$, for $u > 0$ and $\varphi(u) \rightarrow \infty$ as $u \rightarrow \infty$.

A φ -function φ is called non weaker than a φ -function ψ if there are constants $c, b, k, l > 0$ such that $c\psi(lu) \leq b\varphi(ku)$, (for all large u) and we write $\psi \prec \varphi$.

A φ -function φ and ψ are called equivalent if there are positive constant b_1, b_2, c, k_1, k_2, l such that $b_1\varphi(k_1u) \leq c\psi(lu) \leq b_2\varphi(k_2u)$, (for all large u) and we write $\varphi \sim \psi$.

A φ -function φ is said to satisfy the condition (Δ_2) , (for all large u) if for some constant $k > 1$ there is satisfied the inequality $\varphi(2u) \leq k\varphi(u)$, (see, [12], [14]).

On the other hand in [3] a different direction was given to the study of Cesàro-type summability spaces of order α , $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ and lacunary statistical convergence of order α where the notion of lacunary statistical convergence was introduced by replacing h_r by h_r^α in the denominator in the definition of lacunary statistical convergence. Also the notion of statistical convergence of order α was studied in [1]

The idea of lacunary strong (A, φ) with respect to a modulus function was introduced and studied by Waszak [14]. In the present paper, we introduce and study some properties of the following sequence spaces of order α which is defined using the φ - function, infinite matrix and modulus.

2. MAIN RESULTS

Let φ and f be given φ -function and modulus function, respectively and $p = (p_k)$ be a sequence of positive real numbers. Moreover, let $A = (a_{nk})$ be the infinite matrix, a lacunary sequence $\theta = (k_r)$ and $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ be given. Then we define the following sequence spaces,

$$N_\theta^\alpha(A, \varphi, f, p)_0 = \left\{ x = (x_k) : \lim_r \frac{1}{h_r^\alpha} \sum_{n \in I_r} f \left(\left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \varphi(|x_k|) \right| \right)^{p_k} = 0 \right\},$$

where h_r^α denote the α th power $(h_r)^\alpha$ of h_r , that is $h^\alpha = (h_r^\alpha) = (h_1^\alpha, h_2^\alpha, h_3^\alpha, \dots)$.

If $x \in N_\theta^\alpha(A, \varphi, f, p)_0$, the sequence x is said to be lacunary strong (A, φ) -convergent to zero with respect to a modulus f . If we take $\varphi(x) = x$ for all x , we write

$$N_\theta^\alpha(A, f, p)_0 = \left\{ x = (x_k) : \lim_r \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} f \left(\left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} x_k \right| \right)^{p_k} = 0 \right\}.$$

If we take $f(x) = x$, we write

$$N_{\theta}^{\alpha}(A, \varphi, p)_0 = \left\{ x = (x_k) : \lim_r \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{n \in I_r} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \varphi(|x_k|) \right|^{p_k} = 0 \right\}.$$

If we take $p_k = p$, for all k , we have

$$N_{\theta}^{\alpha}(A, \varphi, f)_0 = \left\{ x = (x_k) : \lim_r \frac{1}{h_r^{\alpha}} \sum_{n \in I_r} f \left(\left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \varphi(|x_k|) \right| \right)^p = 0 \right\}.$$

If we take $A = I$ and $\varphi(x) = x$ respectively, then we have

$$(N_{\theta}^{\alpha})_0 = \left\{ x = (x_k) : \lim_r \frac{1}{h_r^{\alpha}} \sum_{k \in I_r} f(|x_k|)^{p_k} = 0 \right\}.$$

If we consider the matrix $A = (a_{nk})$ as follows:

$$a_{nk} := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n}, & \text{if } n \geq k, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

then we write,

$$N_{\theta}^{\alpha}(\mathbf{C}, \varphi, f)_0 = \left\{ x = (x_k) : \lim_r \frac{1}{h_r^{\alpha}} \sum_{n \in I_r} f \left(\left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \varphi(|x_k|) \right| \right)^{p_k} = 0 \right\}.$$

We now have

Theorem 1. *Let us assume that the matrix $A = (a_{nk})$ satisfies the condition*

$$a_{n1} + a_{n2} + \dots \leq K$$

for $n = 1, 2, \dots$ then let φ -functions φ and ψ satisfy the condition (Δ_2) for large u . If $\psi \prec \varphi$ then $N_{\theta}^{\alpha}(A, \varphi, f, p) \subset N_{\theta}^{\alpha}(A, \psi, f, p)$,

Proof. Let $x = (x_k) \in N_{\theta}^{\alpha}(A, \varphi, f, p)$. By assumption we have

$$(1) \quad \varphi(|x_k|) \leq b\varphi(c|x_k|)$$

for b, c, u_0 and $|x_k| > u_0$. Let us denote $x = x^1 + x^2$, where $x^1 = (x_k^1)$ and $x_k^1 = (x_k)$ for $|x_k| < u_0$ for remaining values of k . It is easily seen that $x^1 \in N_\theta^\alpha(A, \varphi, f, p)$. Furthermore, by assumption and inequality (2.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{h_r^\alpha} \sum_{n \in I_r} f\left(\left|\sum_{k=1}^\infty a_{nk} \psi(|x_k^2|)\right|\right)^{p_n} &\leq \frac{1}{h_r^\alpha} \sum_{n \in I_r} f\left(b \left|\sum_{k=1}^\infty a_{nk} \varphi(c|x_k^2|)\right|\right)^{p_n} \\ &\leq \frac{L}{h_r^\alpha} \sum_{n \in I_r} f\left(\left|\sum_{k=1}^\infty a_{nk} \varphi(|x_k^2|)\right|\right)^{p_n}. \end{aligned}$$

where the constant L is connected with properties of f and φ . Hence we get $x^2 \in N_\theta^\alpha(A, \psi, f, p)$ and finally $x \in N_\theta^\alpha(A, \psi, f, p)$. \square

Theorem 2. Let the φ -function $\varphi(u)$ satisfies the condition (Δ_2) and let the matrix has the property

$$a_{n1} + a_{n2} + \dots \leq K$$

for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Then the following conditions are true.

- (a) If $x = (x_k) \in N_\theta^\alpha(A, \varphi, f, p)$ and α is an arbitrary number, then $\alpha x \in N_\theta^\alpha(A, \varphi, f, p)$.
- (b) If $x, y \in N_\theta^\alpha(A, \varphi, f, p)$ where $x = (x_k), y = (y_k)$ and α, β are given numbers, then $\alpha x + \beta y \in N_\theta^\alpha(A, \varphi, f, p)$.

The proof is a routine verification by using standard techniques and hence is omitted.

Theorem 3. If $\lim p_k > 0$ and $x = (x_k)$ is strongly $N_\theta^\alpha(A, \varphi, f, p)$ -summable to L with respect to the modulus function f , then $N_\theta^\alpha(A, \varphi, f, p) - \lim x_k$ uniquely.

Proof. Let $\lim p_k = s > 0$. Suppose that $N_\theta^\alpha(A, \varphi, f, p) - \lim x_k = L_1$ and $N_\theta^\alpha(A, \varphi, f, p) - \lim x_k = L_2$. Then

$$\lim_r \frac{1}{h_r^\alpha} \sum_{n \in I_r} f\left(\left|\sum_{k=1}^\infty a_{nk} \varphi(|x_k - L|)\right|\right)^{p_n} = 0,$$

and

$$\lim_r \frac{1}{h_r^\alpha} \sum_{n \in I_r} f\left(\left|\sum_{k=1}^\infty a_{nk} \varphi(|x_k - L_1|)\right|\right)^{p_n} = 0$$

Definition of f , we write;

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{h_r^\alpha} \sum_{n \in I_r} f \left(\left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \varphi(|L - L_1|) \right| \right)^{p_n} \\ \leq \frac{D}{h_r^\alpha} \sum_{n \in I_r} f \left(\left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \varphi(|x_k - L|) \right| \right)^{p_n} \\ + \frac{D}{h_r^\alpha} \sum_{n \in I_r} f \left(\left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \varphi(|x_k - L_1|) \right| \right)^{p_n}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\sup p_k = H$ and $D = \max(1, 2^{H-1})$. Hence

$$\frac{1}{h_r^\alpha} \sum_{n \in I_r} f \left(\left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \varphi(|L - L_1|) \right| \right)^{p_k} = 0.$$

Since $\lim_k p_k = s$, it is easy to see that the limit is unique. \square

Theorem 4. Let f be a any modulus function and let φ -function φ , infinite matrix A , $p = (p_k)$ be a sequence of positive real numbers and the sequence θ be given. If

$$w^\alpha(A, \varphi, f, p)_0 = \left\{ x = (x_k) : \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{n=1}^m f \left(\left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \varphi(|x_{k_L}|) \right| \right)^{p_k} = 0, \right\}.$$

then the following relations are true :

- (a) If $\liminf_r q_r > 1$ then we have $w^\alpha(A, \varphi, f, p)_0 \subseteq N_\theta^\alpha(A, \varphi, f, p)_0$,
- (b) If $\sup_r q_r < \infty$, then we have $N_\theta^\alpha(A, \varphi, f, p)_0 \subseteq w^\alpha(A, \varphi, f, p)_0$,
- (c) $1 < \liminf_r q_r \leq \limsup_r q_r < \infty$, then we have $N_{\theta_0}^\alpha(A, \varphi, f, p)_0 = w^\alpha(A, \varphi, f)_0$.

Proof. (a) Let us suppose that $x \in w^\alpha(A, \varphi, f, p)$. There exists $\delta > 0$ such that $q_r > 1 + \delta$ for all $r \geq 1$ and we have $h_r/k_r \geq \delta/(1 + \delta)$ for sufficiently large r . Then, for all i ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{k_r^\alpha} \sum_{n=1}^{k_r} f \left(\left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \varphi(|x_k|) \right| \right)^{p_n} \\ \geq \frac{1}{k_r^\alpha} \sum_{n \in I_r} f \left(\left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \varphi(|x_k|) \right| \right)^{p_k} \\ = \frac{h_r^\alpha}{k_r^\alpha} \frac{1}{h_r^\alpha} \sum_{n \in I_r} f \left(\left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \varphi(|x_k|) \right| \right)^{p_n} \\ \geq \frac{\delta^\alpha}{(1 + \delta)^\alpha} \frac{1}{h_r^\alpha} \sum_{n \in I_r} f \left(\left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \varphi(|x_k|) \right| \right)^{p_n}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $x \in N_{\theta}^{\alpha}(A, \varphi, f, p)_0$.

(b) If $\limsup_r q_r < \infty$ then there exist $M > 0$ such that $q_r < M$ for all $r \geq 1$. Let $x \in N_{\theta}^{\alpha}(A, \varphi, f, p)_0$ and ε is an arbitrary positive number, then there exists an index j_0 such that for every $j \geq j_0$ and all i ,

$$R_j = \frac{1}{h_j^{\alpha}} \sum_{n \in I_r} f \left(\left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \varphi(|x_k|) \right| \right)^{p_n} < \varepsilon$$

Thus, we can also find $K > 0$ such that $R_j \leq K$ for all $j = 1, 2, \dots$. Now let m be any integer with $k_{r-1} \leq m \leq k_r$, then we obtain, for all i

$$I = \frac{1}{m^{\alpha}} \sum_{n=1}^m f \left(\left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \varphi(|x_k|) \right| \right)^{p_n} \leq \frac{1}{k_{r-1}^{\alpha}} \sum_{n=1}^{k_r} f \left(\left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \varphi(|x_k|) \right| \right)^{p_n} = I_1 + I_2$$

where

$$I_1 = \frac{1}{k_{r-1}^{\alpha}} \sum_{j=1}^{j_0} \sum_{n \in I_j} f \left(\left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \varphi(|x_k|) \right| \right)^{p_n}$$

$$I_2 = \frac{1}{k_{r-1}^{\alpha}} \sum_{j=j_0+1}^m \sum_{n \in I_j} f \left(\left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \varphi(|x_k|) \right| \right)^{p_n}$$

It is easy to see that,

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \frac{1}{k_{r-1}^{\alpha}} \sum_{j=1}^{j_0} \sum_{n \in I_j} f \left(\left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \varphi(|x_k|) \right| \right)^{p_n} \\ &= \frac{1}{k_{r-1}^{\alpha}} \left(\sum_{n \in I_1} f \left(\left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \varphi(|x_k|) \right| \right)^{p_n} + \dots + \sum_{n \in I_{j_0}} f \left(\left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \varphi(|x_k|) \right| \right)^{p_n} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{k_{r-1}^{\alpha}} (h_1 R_1 + \dots + h_{j_0} R_{j_0}), \\ &\leq \frac{1}{k_{r-1}^{\alpha}} j_0 k_{j_0}^{\alpha} \sup_{1 \leq i \leq j_0} R_i, \\ &\leq \frac{j_0 k_{j_0}^{\alpha}}{k_{r-1}^{\alpha}} K. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we have for all i

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_2 &= \frac{1}{k_{r-1}^\alpha} \sum_{j=j_0+1}^m \sum_{n \in I_j} f \left(\left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \varphi(|x_k|) \right| \right)^{p_n} \\
 &= \frac{1}{k_{r-1}^\alpha} \sum_{j=j_0+1}^m \left(\frac{1}{h_j} \sum_{n \in I_j} f \left(\left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \varphi(|x_k|) \right| \right)^{p_n} h_j \right) \\
 &\leq \varepsilon \frac{1}{k_{r-1}^\alpha} \sum_{j=j_0+1}^m h_j, \\
 &\leq \varepsilon \frac{k_r^\alpha}{k_{r-1}^\alpha}, \\
 &= \varepsilon Q_r^\alpha < \varepsilon.M.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus $I \leq \frac{j_0 k_{j_0}^\alpha}{k_{r-1}^\alpha} K + \varepsilon.M$. Finally, $x \in w^\alpha(A, \psi, f, p)$.

The proof of (c) follows from (a) and (b). This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 5. Let $0 < \alpha \leq \beta \leq 1$ and p be a positive real number, then $N_\theta^\alpha(A, \varphi, f)_0 \subseteq N_\theta^\beta(A, \varphi, f)_0$.

Proof. Let $x = (x_k) \in N_\theta^\alpha(A, \varphi, f)_0$. Then given α and β such that $0 < \alpha \leq \beta \leq 1$ and a positive real number p , we write

$$\frac{1}{h_r^\beta} \sum_{n \in I_r} f \left(\left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \varphi(|x_k|) \right| \right)^p \leq \frac{1}{h_r^\alpha} \sum_{n \in I_r} f \left(\left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \varphi(|x_k|) \right| \right)^p$$

and we get that $N_\theta^\alpha(A, \varphi, f)_0 \subseteq N_\theta^\beta(A, \varphi, f)_0$. \square

The proof of the following result is a consequence of Theorem 2.2.

Corollary 6. Let $0 < \alpha \leq \beta \leq 1$ and p be a positive real number. Then

i) If $\alpha = \beta$, then $N_\theta^\alpha(A, \varphi, f)_0 = N_\theta^\beta(A, \varphi, f)_0$.

ii) $N_\theta^\alpha(A, \varphi, f)_0 \subseteq N_\theta(A, \varphi, f)_0$ for each $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ and $0 < p < \infty$.

Acknowledgements. The author express their gratitude to the referees for excellent comments and suggestions, which have enormously enhanced the quality and presentation of this paper.

REFERENCES

1. R. COLAK: *Statistical convergence of order α Modern methods in Analysis and its Applications*, New Delhi, India, Anamaya Pub., (2010), 121-129.

2. J. CONNOR: *On strong matrix summability with respect to a modulus and statistical convergent* Canad. Math. Bull. 32(2),(1989), 194-198.
3. M. ET AND H. SENGUL: *Some Cesaro-Type Summability spaces of order α and lacunary statistical convergenc of order α* , Filomat 28(8),(1983), 1593-1602..
4. A. R. FREEDMAN, J.J.SEMBER: *Some Cesaro-type summability spaces* Proc. London Math. Soc. 37(1978), 508-520.
5. I. J. MADDOX: *Spaces of strongly summable sequences* Quart. J. Math., **18**(1967), 345-355.
6. I. J. MADDOX: *Sequence spaces defined by a modulus* Math. Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc., 100 (1986), 161-166.
7. F. NURAY AND E. SAVAS: *Some new sequence spaces defined by a modulus function* Indian J. Pure. Appl. Math. 24(11), (1993), 657-663.
8. S. PEHLIVAN AND B. FISHER: *Some sequence spaces defined by a modulus* Math. Slovaca.**43(3)**(1995), 275-280.
9. S. PEHLIVAN: *sequence space defined by a modulus function* Erc. Univer.J. Science. (3)(1989),875-880.
10. W. H. RUCKLE: *FK Spaces in which the sequence of coordinate vectors in bounded*Canad. J. Math. 25 (1973) 973-978.
11. E. SAVAŞ: *On some generalized sequence spaces defined by a modulus* Indian J. Pur. Appl. Math. **30(5)**(1999), 459-464.
12. E. SAVAŞ: *On some new sequence spaces defined by infinite matrix and modulus* Advances in Difference Equations 2013, 2013:274 doi:10.1186/1687-1847-2013-274.
13. E. SAVAŞ AND A. KILIÇMAN: *A note on some strongly sequence spaces* Abstr. Appl. Anal. 2011, Art. ID 598393, 8 pp.
14. A. WASZAK: *On the strong convergence in sequence spaces* Fasciculi Math. 33, (2002), 125-137.

Ekrem Savas

Department of Mathematics,
Istanbul Commerce University,
Uskudar-Istanbul,
Turkey.
E-mail: ekremsavas@yahoo.com

(Received 22.02.2019)

(Revised 15.08.2019)