

ENERGY OF A GRAPH IS NEVER THE SQUARE ROOT OF AN ODD INTEGER

S. Pirzada, I. Gutman

The energy $E(G)$ of a graph G is the sum of the absolute values of the eigenvalues of G . BAPAT and PATI (Bull. Kerala Math. Assoc., **1** (2004), 129–132) proved that (a) $E(G)$ is never an odd integer. We now show that (b) $E(G)$ is never the square root of an odd integer. Furthermore, if r and s are integers such that $r \geq 1$ and $0 \leq s \leq r - 1$ and q is an odd integer, then $E(G)$ cannot be of the form $(2^s q)^{1/r}$, a result that implies both (a) and (b) as special cases.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we are concerned with simple finite graphs. Let G be such a graph and let its order be n . If \mathbf{A} is the adjacency matrix of G , then the eigenvalues of \mathbf{A} , denoted by $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$, are said to be the eigenvalues of the graph G and to form its spectrum [bf 2].

The *energy* of the graph G is defined as

$$E = E(G) = \sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i| .$$

This definition was put forward by one of the authors [3] and was motivated by earlier results in theoretical chemistry [4]. Nowadays the energy of graphs is a much studied quantity in the mathematical literature (see, for example, the recent papers [5, 6]).

In 2004 BAPAT and PATI [1] communicated an interesting (yet simple) result:

Theorem 1. *The energy of a graph cannot be an odd integer.*

In what follows we demonstrate the validity of a slightly more general result of the same kind:

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 05C50.
Keywords and Phrases. Graph energy, graph spectrum.

Theorem 2. *The energy of a graph cannot be the square root of an odd integer.*

In order to prove Theorem 2 we need some preliminaries.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Using the terminology and notation from the book [2], we define two operations with graphs.

By $V(G)$ and $E(G)$ are denoted the vertex and edge sets, respectively, of the graph G .

Let G_1 and G_2 be two graphs with disjoint vertex sets of orders n_1 and n_2 , respectively.

The *product* of G_1 and G_2 , denoted by $G_1 \times G_2$, is the graph with vertex set $V(G_1) \times V(G_2)$ such that two vertices $(x_1, x_2) \in V(G_1 \times G_2)$ and $(y_1, y_2) \in V(G_1 \times G_2)$ are adjacent if and only if $(x_1, y_1) \in E(G_1)$ and $(x_2, y_2) \in E(G_2)$.

The *sum* of G_1 and G_2 , denoted by $G_1 + G_2$, is the graph with vertex set $V(G_1) \times V(G_2)$ such that two vertices $(x_1, x_2) \in V(G_1 + G_2)$ and $(y_1, y_2) \in V(G_1 + G_2)$ are adjacent if and only if either $(x_1, y_1) \in E(G_1)$ and $x_2 = y_2$ or $(x_2, y_2) \in E(G_2)$ and $x_1 = y_1$.

The above specified two graph products have the following spectral properties (see [2], p. 70).

Let $\lambda_i^{(1)}$, $i = 1, \dots, n_1$, and $\lambda_j^{(2)}$, $j = 1, \dots, n_2$, be, respectively, the eigenvalues of the graphs G_1 and G_2 .

Lemma 1. *The eigenvalues of $G_1 \times G_2$ are $\lambda_i^{(1)} \lambda_j^{(2)}$, $i = 1, \dots, n_1$; $j = 1, \dots, n_2$.*

Lemma 2. *The eigenvalues of $G_1 + G_2$ are $\lambda_i^{(1)} + \lambda_j^{(2)}$, $i = 1, \dots, n_1$; $j = 1, \dots, n_2$.*

The eigenvalues of a graph are zeros of the characteristic polynomial and the characteristic polynomial is a monic polynomial with integer coefficients. Therefore we have:

Lemma 3. *If an eigenvalue of a graph is a rational number, then it is an integer.*

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 2

Consider a graph G and let $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_m$ be its positive eigenvalues. Then in view of the fact that the sum of all eigenvalues of any graph is equal to zero

$$E(G) = 2 \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i.$$

Denote $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_m$ by λ . By Lemma 1 λ is an eigenvalue of some graph H isomorphic to the sum of m disjoint copies of the graph G . By Lemma 2 λ^2 is an eigenvalue of the product of two disjoint copies of the graph H .

Suppose now that $E(G) = \sqrt{q}$, where q is some integer. Then $2\lambda = \sqrt{q}$, i.e., $\lambda^2 = q/4$. If q would be an odd integer, then $q/4$ would be a nonintegral rational number in contradiction to Lemma 3.

Theorem 2 follows. \square

We have an immediate extension of Theorem 2:

Observation. *The energy of a graph cannot be the square root of the double of an odd integer.*

However, this observation is just a special case of a somewhat more general result.

4. GENERALIZING THEOREM 2

Let H be the same graph as in the preceding section. Thus λ an eigenvalue of H . Let H^* be the product of r disjoint copies of H . Then by Lemma 2 λ^r is an eigenvalue H^* .

Suppose now that $E(G) = q^{1/r}$, where q is some integer. Then $2\lambda = q^{1/r}$, i.e., $\lambda^r = q/2^r$. If q would not be divisible by 2^r , then λ^r would be a nonintegral rational number in contradiction to Lemma 3. Therefore we have:

Theorem 3. *Let r and s be integers such that $r \geq 1$ and $0 \leq s \leq r - 1$ and q be an odd integer. Then $E(G)$ cannot be of the form $(2^s q)^{1/r}$.*

For $r = 1$ and $s = 0$ Theorem 3 reduces to Theorem 1. For $r = 2$ and $s = 0$ Theorem 3 reduces to Theorem 2. The Observation pertains to $r = 2$ and $s = 1$.

REFERENCES

1. R. B. BAPAT, S. PATI: *Energy of a graph is never an odd integer*. Bull. Kerala Math. Assoc., **1** (2004), 129–132.
2. D. CVETKOVIĆ, M. DOOB, H. SACHS: *Spectra of Graphs – Theory and Application*. Academic Press, New York, 1980; 2nd revised ed.: Barth, Heidelberg, 1995.
3. I. GUTMAN: *The energy of a graph*. Ber. Math. Statist. Sect. Forshungsz. Graz, **103** (1978), 1–22.
4. I. GUTMAN, O. E. POLANSKY: *Mathematical Concepts in Organic Chemistry*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986.
5. H. HUA, M. WANG: *Unicyclic graphs with given number of pendent vertices and minimal energy*. Lin. Algebra Appl., **426** (2007), 478–489.
6. V. NIKIFOROV: *Graphs and matrices with maximal energy*. J. Math. Anal. Appl., **327** (2007) 735–738.

Department of Mathematics,
University of Kashmir,
Srinagar,
India

E-mail: sdpirzada@yahoo.co.in

Faculty of Science,
University of Kragujevac,
Kragujevac,
Serbia

E-mail: gutman@kg.ac.yu

(Received October 6, 2007)