

**q -EXTENSION OF SOME SYMMETRICAL AND
SEMI-CLASSICAL ORTHOGONAL POLYNOMIALS
OF CLASS ONE**

M. Mejri

We study in detail a q -extension of a symmetrical form (functional) of class one. We show that it is symmetrical and H_q -semi-classical of class one. The moments and a discrete representation are given.

1. INTRODUCTION

The monic orthogonal polynomials sequence (MOPS) $\{S_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ satisfying the recurrence relation [1]

$$\begin{cases} S_0(x) = 1, & S_1(x) = x, \\ S_{n+2}(x) = xS_{n+1}(x) - \sigma_{n+1}S_n(x), & n \geq 0, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{2n+1} &= -\frac{1}{4} \frac{n + \alpha}{(2n + \alpha)(2n + \alpha + 1)}, & n \geq 0, \\ \sigma_{2n+2} &= \frac{1}{4} \frac{n + 1}{(2n + \alpha + 1)(2n + \alpha + 2)}, & n \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

is associated with the form $v(\alpha)$. This form is symmetrical semi-classical of class one satisfying the functional equation [1]

$$(x^3v(\alpha))' + \left(-2(\alpha + 1)x^2 - \frac{1}{2}\right)v(\alpha) = 0.$$

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 42C05,33C45.

Keywords and Phrases. q -difference operator, H_q -semi-classical polynomials.

Replacing the derivative operator by the q -difference operator H_q [4, 6] and -2α by $\frac{1-q^{-2\alpha-2}}{1-q}$ in the precedent equation, we get q -PEARSON equation

$$(1) \quad H_q(x^3u(\alpha)) + \left(\frac{1-q^{-2\alpha-2}}{1-q}x^2 - \frac{1}{2}\right)u(\alpha) = 0, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{C}.$$

The aim of this contribution is to determine the symmetrical quasi-definite functional $u(\alpha)$ fulfilling the last equation. This latter is considered the q -analogous of the form $v(\alpha)$. When $q \rightarrow 1$, we meet again the form $v(\alpha)$. In fact the problem of defining q -analogous of symmetrical MOPS has been the interest of some authors from different point of views [2, 3, 7, 10, 11, 14].

The second section is of a preliminary and introductory character. In the third section, we determine the elements of three-term recurrence relation fulfilled by the polynomial sequence, orthogonal with respect to $u(\alpha)$. Finally, in the fourth section we give the moments and a discrete representation.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let \mathcal{P} be the vector space of polynomials with coefficients in \mathbb{C} and let \mathcal{P}' be its dual space. We denote by $\langle u, f \rangle$ the action of $u \in \mathcal{P}'$ on $f \in \mathcal{P}$. In particular, for any $f \in \mathcal{P}$, any $a \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, we let f_u and $h_a u$, be the forms defined by duality

$$\langle f_u, p \rangle := \langle u, fp \rangle; \quad \langle h_a u, p \rangle := \langle u, h_a p \rangle, \quad p \in \mathcal{P},$$

where $(h_a p)(x) = p(ax)$.

The form u is called quasi-definite functional if we can associate with it a sequence $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ of monic polynomials $\deg P_n = n$, $n \geq 0$ such that

$$\langle u, P_m P_n \rangle = r_n \delta_{n,m}, \quad n, m \geq 0; \quad r_n \neq 0, \quad n \geq 0.$$

The sequence $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is orthogonal with respect to u and fulfils the standard recurrence relation:

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{cases} P_0(x) = 1, & P_1(x) = x - \beta_0, \\ P_{n+2}(x) = (x - \beta_{n+1})P_{n+1}(x) - \gamma_{n+1}P_n(x), & n \geq 0, \end{cases}$$

with $\beta_n = \frac{\langle u, xp_n^2(x) \rangle}{\langle u, p_n^2 \rangle}$, $n \geq 0$, $\gamma_{n+1} = \frac{\langle u, p_{n+1}^2 \rangle}{\langle u, p_n^2 \rangle}$, $n \geq 0$.

The form u is called normalized if $(u)_0 = 1$ where in general $(u)_n = \langle u, x^n \rangle$, $n \geq 0$, are the moments of u . In this paper we suppose that the forms are normalized.

Let us introduce the HAHN's operator [6]

$$(2.2) \quad (H_q f)(x) := \frac{f(qx) - f(x)}{(q-1)x}, \quad f \in \mathcal{P}, \quad q \in \tilde{\mathbb{C}},$$

where $q \neq 0$, $q^n \neq 1$, $n \geq 0$. By duality we have

$$\langle H_q u, f \rangle = -\langle u, H_q f \rangle, \quad u \in \mathcal{P}', \quad f \in \mathcal{P}.$$

When $q \rightarrow 1$, we meet again the derivative D .

Definition. A form u is called H_q -semi-classical when it is regular and satisfies the equation

$$(2.3) \quad H_q(\phi u) + \psi u = 0,$$

where (ϕ, ψ) are two polynomials, ϕ monic with $\deg \phi \geq 0$ and $\deg \psi \geq 1$. The corresponding orthogonal sequence $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is called H_q -semi-classical.

Moreover, if u is semi-classical satisfying (2.3), the class of u , denoted s is, defined by [9]

$$s = \min(\deg(\phi) - 2, \deg(\psi) - 1),$$

where the minimum is taken over all pairs (ϕ, ψ) satisfying the equation (2.3).

We have the following result:

Proposition 2.1. [9] Let u be a H_q -semi-classical form satisfying the equation (2.3) and $s = \max(\deg(\phi) - 2, \deg(\psi) - 1)$. Then the class of u is s if and only if

$$\prod_{c \in Z(\phi)} \left(|qh_q \psi(c) + (H_q \phi)(c)| + |\langle u, q(\theta_{cq} \psi) + (\theta_{cq} \circ \theta_c \phi) \rangle| \right) > 0,$$

where $Z(\phi) := \{z \in \mathbb{C}, \phi(z) = 0\}$, $(\theta_c p)(x) = \frac{p(x) - p(c)}{x - c}$, $p \in \mathcal{P}$.

When the last condition is not satisfied for $c \in Z(\phi)$ the equation (2.3) becomes

$$H_q(\theta_c(\phi)u) + (q\theta_{cq}\psi + \theta_{cq} \circ \theta_c \phi)u = 0.$$

REMARK. If u is H_q -semi-classical of class zero, we are dealing with H_q -classical forms or classical functional [8, 13].

Lemma 2.2. Let $u \in \mathcal{P}'$ the following statements are equivalent:

(i) The form u satisfies

$$(2.4) \quad H_q(x\phi(x)u) + \psi(x)u = 0.$$

(ii) The form u satisfies

$$(2.5) \quad h_q(\phi u) + ((1 - q)\psi - \phi)u = 0.$$

Proof. For $f \in \mathcal{P}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle H_q(x\phi(x)u), f \rangle &= -\langle x\phi(x)u, H_q f \rangle \\ &= -\left\langle x\phi(x)u, \frac{h_q f - f}{(q-1)x} \right\rangle = \left\langle \frac{1}{1-q}\phi u, h_q f \right\rangle + \left\langle \frac{-1}{1-q}\phi u, f \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \frac{1}{1-q} h_q(\phi u), f \right\rangle + \left\langle \frac{-1}{1-q}\phi u, f \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$(2.6) \quad \langle H_q(x\phi(x)u), f \rangle = \left\langle \frac{1}{1-q} (h_q(\phi u) - \phi u), f \right\rangle.$$

Indeed, from (2.6) we can deduce the desired results. □

3. THE q -EXTENSION OF THE SEQUENCE $\{S_n\}_{n \geq 0}$

We assume that $u(\alpha)$ is a symmetrical H_q -semi-classical form and $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ its orthogonal sequence satisfying the following functional equation:

$$(3.1) \quad H_q(x^3 u(\alpha)) + \left(\frac{1 - q^{-2\alpha-2}}{1 - q} x^2 - \frac{1}{2} \right) u(\alpha) = 0, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{C},$$

we have

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{cases} P_0(x) = 1, & P_1(x) = x, \\ P_{n+2}(x) = xP_{n+1}(x) - \gamma_{n+1}P_n(x), & n \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

Let

$$(3.3) \quad I_{n,k}(q) = \langle u(\alpha), x^k P_n(x) P_n(q^{-1}x) \rangle, \quad n \geq 0, \quad 0 \leq k \leq 2.$$

Lemma 3.1. *We have the following result:*

$$(3.4) \quad I_{n,2}(q^{-1}) - q^{-2\alpha-2} I_{n,2}(q) + \frac{q-1}{2} I_{n,0}(q) = 0, \quad n \geq 0.$$

Proof. By virtue of the Lemma 2.2, the functional equation (3.1) is equivalent to

$$h_q(x^2 u(\alpha)) + \left(-q^{-2\alpha-2} x^2 + \frac{q-1}{2} \right) u(\alpha) = 0,$$

then, we obtain

$$\left\langle h_q(x^2 u(\alpha)) + \left(-q^{-2\alpha-2} x^2 + \frac{q-1}{2} \right) u(\alpha), P_n(x) P_n(q^{-1}x) \right\rangle = 0, \quad n \geq 0,$$

it is equivalent to

$$\langle x^2 u(\alpha), P_n(x) P_n(qx) \rangle + \left\langle \left(-q^{-2\alpha-2} x^2 + \frac{q-1}{2} \right) u(\alpha), P_n(x) P_n(q^{-1}x) \right\rangle = 0, \quad n \geq 0.$$

The previous equation can be written as the following:

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle u(\alpha), x^2 P_n(x) P_n(qx) \rangle - q^{-2\alpha-2} \langle u(\alpha), x^2 P_n(x) P_n(q^{-1}x) \rangle \\ & + \frac{q-1}{2} \langle u(\alpha), P_n(x) P_n(q^{-1}x) \rangle = 0, \quad n \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus (3.4). □

We need the following result:

Lemma 3.2. [12] *Let $\{a_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ with $a_n \neq 0$, $n \geq 0$, $\{b_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ two sequences and $\{x_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ the sequence satisfying the recurrence relation:*

$$x_{n+1} = a_n x_n + b_n, \quad n \geq 0, \quad x_0 = a \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}.$$

We have

$$x_{n+1} = \prod_{k=0}^n a_k \left(a + \sum_{k=0}^n \left(\prod_{\mu=0}^k a_\mu \right)^{-1} b_k \right), \quad n \geq 0.$$

Lemma 3.3. *The sequences $\{I_{n,k}(q)\}_{n \geq 0}$ are given by the following formulas:*

$$(3.5) \quad I_{n,0}(q) = q^{-n} \langle u(\alpha), P_n^2 \rangle, \quad n \geq 0,$$

$$(3.6) \quad I_{0,2}(q) = \gamma_1,$$

$$(3.7) \quad I_{1,2}(q) = q^{-1} \gamma_1 (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2),$$

$$(3.8) \quad I_{n,2}(q) = q^{-n} \langle u(\alpha), P_n^2 \rangle \left(\sum_{\nu=1}^{n+1} \gamma_\nu - q^2 \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} \gamma_\nu \right), \quad n \geq 2.$$

Proof. We have $I_{n,0}(q) = \langle u(\alpha), P_n(x) P_n(q^{-1}x) \rangle$, $n \geq 0$, by the orthogonality of $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ (3.5) can be deduced.

Writing $I_{0,2}(q) = \langle u(\alpha), x^2 \rangle = \langle u(\alpha), P_2 + \gamma_1 \rangle$, then we obtain (3.6).

Also, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_{1,2}(q) &= \langle u(\alpha), x^2 P_1(x) P_1(q^{-1}x) \rangle \\ &= \langle u(\alpha), x \{P_2(x) + \gamma_1\} P_1(q^{-1}x) \rangle \quad (\text{by (2.2)}) \\ &= q^{-1} \langle u(\alpha), P_2^2 \rangle + q^{-1} \gamma_1 I_{0,2}(q) \quad (\text{by the orthogonality of } \{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}), \end{aligned}$$

by (3.6), we get (3.7).

For $n \geq 0$, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} I_{n+1,2}(q) &= \langle u(\alpha), x^2 P_{n+1}(x) P_{n+1}(q^{-1}x) \rangle \\ &= \langle u(\alpha), x \{P_{n+2}(x) + \gamma_{n+1} P_n(x)\} P_{n+1}(q^{-1}x) \rangle \quad (\text{by (3.2)}) \\ &= \langle u(\alpha), x P_{n+2}(x) P_{n+1}(q^{-1}x) \rangle + \gamma_{n+1} \langle u(\alpha), x P_n(x) P_{n+1}(q^{-1}x) \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

by the orthogonality of $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$, we obtain

$$(3.9) \quad I_{n+1,2}(q) = q^{-n-1} \langle u(\alpha), P_{n+2}^2 \rangle + \gamma_{n+1} \langle u(\alpha), x P_n(x) P_{n+1}(q^{-1}x) \rangle.$$

On the other hand we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle u(\alpha), xP_n(x)P_{n+1}(q^{-1}x) \rangle &= \langle u(\alpha), xP_n(x)\{q^{-1}xP_n(q^{-1}x) - \gamma_n P_{n-1}(q^{-1}x)\} \rangle \\ &= q^{-1} \langle u(\alpha), x^2 P_n(x)P_n(q^{-1}x) \rangle - \gamma_n \langle u(\alpha), xP_n(x)P_{n-1}(q^{-1}x) \rangle, \quad n \geq 1, \end{aligned}$$

on account of the orthogonality of $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$, we can deduce that

$$(3.10) \quad \langle u(\alpha), xP_n(x)P_{n+1}(q^{-1}x) \rangle = q^{-1}I_{n,2}(q) - q^{-n+1}\gamma_n \langle u(\alpha), P_n^2 \rangle, \quad n \geq 1.$$

By virtue of (3.10), equation (3.9) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} I_{n+1,2}(q) &= q^{-1}\gamma_{n+1}I_{n,2}(q) + q^{-n-1} \langle u(\alpha), P_{n+2}^2 \rangle - q^{-n+1}\gamma_n\gamma_{n+1} \langle u(\alpha), P_n^2 \rangle \\ &= q^{-1}\gamma_{n+1}I_{n,2}(q) + q^{-n-1} \langle u(\alpha), P_{n+2}^2 \rangle - q^{-n+1}\gamma_n \langle u(\alpha), P_{n+1}^2 \rangle, \quad n \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 3.2 and the relation (3.7), we get (3.8). □

Proposition 3.4. *The sequence $\{\gamma_{n+1}\}_{n \geq 0}$ given in (3.2) is defined by the following formulas:*

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{cases} \gamma_{2n+1} = \frac{1-q}{2} \frac{q^{2n+2\alpha} - 1}{(q^{4n+2\alpha} - 1)(q^{4n+2\alpha+2} - 1)} q^{2n+2\alpha+2}, \quad n \geq 0, \\ \gamma_{2n+2} = \frac{q-1}{2} \frac{q^{2n+2} - 1}{(q^{4n+2\alpha+2} - 1)(q^{4n+2\alpha+4} - 1)} q^{4n+4\alpha+4}, \quad n \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Letting $n = 0$ and $n = 1$ in (3.4), we obtain respectively:

$$\begin{aligned} I_{0,2}(q^{-1}) - q^{-2\alpha-2}I_{0,2}(q) + \frac{q-1}{2}I_{0,0}(q) &= 0, \\ I_{1,2}(q^{-1}) - q^{-2\alpha-2}I_{1,2}(q) + \frac{q-1}{2}I_{1,0}(q) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

On account of (3.5), (3.6) and (3.7), it follows that

$$(3.12) \quad \gamma_1 = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1-q}{q^{2\alpha+2} - 1} q^{2\alpha+2},$$

$$(3.13) \quad \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1-q}{q^{2\alpha+4} - 1} q^{2\alpha+2}.$$

Taking into account the relations (3.5) and (3.8), equation (3.4) becomes

$$(3.14) \quad (q^{2n} - q^{-2\alpha-2}) \sum_{\nu=1}^{n+1} \gamma_\nu - q^2(q^{2n-4} - q^{-2\alpha-2}) \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} \gamma_\nu + \frac{q-1}{2} = 0, \quad n \geq 2.$$

Let

$$(3.15) \quad T_n = \sum_{\nu=1}^n \gamma_\nu, \quad n \geq 1.$$

Then the system (3.12)–(3.14) can be written:

$$(3.16) \quad T_1 = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1-q}{q^{2\alpha+2}-1} q^{2\alpha+2},$$

$$(3.17) \quad T_2 = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1-q}{q^{2\alpha+4}-1} q^{2\alpha+2},$$

$$(3.18) \quad (q^{2n} - q^{-2\alpha-2})T_{n+1} - q^2(q^{2n-4} - q^{-2\alpha-2})T_{n-1} + \frac{q-1}{2} = 0, \quad n \geq 2.$$

Moreover, letting $n \rightarrow 2n$ and $n \rightarrow 2n+1$ in (3.18), we get respectively:

$$(3.19) \quad (q^{4n} - q^{-2\alpha-2})T_{2n+1} - q^2(q^{4n-4} - q^{-2\alpha-2})T_{2n-1} + \frac{q-1}{2} = 0, \quad n \geq 1,$$

$$(3.20) \quad (q^{4n+2} - q^{-2\alpha-2})T_{2n+2} - q^2(q^{4n-2} - q^{-2\alpha-2})T_{2n} + \frac{q-1}{2} = 0, \quad n \geq 1.$$

By virtue of (3.19), (3.16) and the Lemma 3.2, we get

$$(3.21) \quad T_{2n+1} = \frac{1}{2(q+1)} \frac{1-q^{2n+2}}{q^{4n}-q^{-2\alpha-2}}, \quad n \geq 0.$$

Likewise, by (3.20), (3.18) and the lemma 3.2, we obtain

$$(3.22) \quad T_{2n} = \frac{1}{2(q+1)} \frac{1-q^{2n}}{q^{4n-2}-q^{-2\alpha-2}}, \quad n \geq 1.$$

From (3.15), we get respectively $\gamma_{2n+1} = T_{2n+1} - T_{2n}$, $n \geq 1$ and $\gamma_{2n+2} = T_{2n+2} - T_{2n+1}$, $n \geq 0$, then by (3.21), (3.22) and (3.16), we can deduce (3.11). \square

REMARKS. 1. The form $u(\alpha)$ is quasi-definite if and only if $n + \alpha \neq 0$, $n \geq 0$. $u(\alpha)$ is not positive definite.

2. When $q \rightarrow 1$ in (3.1) and (3.11), we meet again the MOPS $\{S_n\}_{n \geq 0}$.

3. Let $w(\alpha)$ be the form defined by $(w(\alpha))_n = (w(\alpha))_{2n}$, $n \geq 0$.

We have

$$(h_{\tau-1}w(\alpha))_n = \frac{1}{(-aq^2; q^2)_n}, \quad n \geq 0, \quad a = -q^{2\alpha}.$$

Then, $h_{\tau-1}w(\alpha)$ it is the alternative q^2 -CHARLIER form [8, pp 98].

Corollary 3.5. *When $u(\alpha)$ is quasi-definite it is H_q -semi-classical of class one.*

Proof. Let $\phi(x) = x^3$ and $\psi(x) = \frac{1-q^{-2\alpha-2}}{1-q}x^2 - \frac{1}{2}$.

We have $qh_q\widehat{\psi}(0) + H_q\widehat{\phi}(0) = -\frac{q}{2} \neq 0$. According to the proposition 2.1 we see that the functional equation in (3.1) can not be simplified by the factor x . Therefore we get the desired result. \square

4. MOMENTS AND DISCRETE REPRESENTATION

4.1 We are going to use the following notations:[4, 5, 11]

$$(4.1) \quad (a; q)_n = \begin{cases} 1, & n = 0, \\ \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} (1 - aq^k), & n \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

$$(4.2) \quad (a; q)_\infty = \prod_{k=0}^{+\infty} (1 - aq^k), \quad |q| < 1.$$

We have [5]

$$(4.3) \quad (a; q)_n = \frac{(a; q)_\infty}{(aq^n; q)_\infty}, \quad |q| < 1,$$

$$(4.4) \quad (z; q)_\infty = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \frac{(-1)^k q^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}}}{(q; q)_k} z^k, \quad |q| < 1.$$

We need the following results:

Lemma 4.1. *Let $u \in \mathcal{P}'$ be a symmetrical form such that*

$$(4.5) \quad (u)_{2n} = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} a_k (c_k)^{2n}, \quad n \geq 0.$$

Then

$$(4.6) \quad u = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} a_k (\delta_{c_k} + \delta_{-c_k}),$$

with $\langle \delta_c, f \rangle = f(c)$, $f \in \mathcal{P}$.

Proof. We have $\langle \delta_{c_k}, x^{2n} \rangle = \langle \delta_{-c_k}, x^{2n} \rangle$, and $\langle \delta_{c_k}, x^{2n} \rangle = -\langle \delta_{-c_k}, x^{2n} \rangle$. Therefore

$$(u)_n = \langle u, x^n \rangle = \left\langle \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} a_k (\delta_{c_k} + \delta_{-c_k}), x^n \right\rangle, \quad n \geq 0.$$

Consequently, we get the desired result. □

4.2. Now we are able to calculate the moments and to give a discrete representation for the canonical case.

Proposition 4.2. *The moments of the form $u(\alpha)$, $\alpha \neq -n$, $n \geq 0$ defined in (3.1) are given by the following formulas:*

$$(4.7) \quad (u(\alpha))_{2n} = \frac{\tau^n}{(q^{2\alpha+2}; q^2)_n}, \quad n \geq 0; \quad (u(\alpha))_{2n+1} = 0, \quad n \geq 0,$$

where

$$(4.8) \quad \tau = \frac{1}{2} q^{2\alpha+2} (q - 1).$$

Proof. Indeed, by the Lemma 2.2, the functional equation (3.1) can be written

$$h_q(x^2u(\alpha)) + \left(-q^{-2\alpha-2}x^2 + \frac{q-1}{2}\right)u(\alpha) = 0.$$

From the previous equation, we get

$$\left\langle h_q(x^2u(\alpha)) + \left(-q^{-2\alpha-2}x^2 + \frac{q-1}{2}\right)u(\alpha), x^{2n} \right\rangle = 0, \quad n \geq 0,$$

then

$$q^{2n}\langle u(\alpha), x^{2n+2} \rangle + \left\langle u(\alpha), \left(-q^{-2\alpha-2}x^2 + \frac{q-1}{2}\right)x^{2n} \right\rangle = 0, \quad n \geq 0.$$

Consequently, we are to the following equation:

$$(u(\alpha))_{2n+2} = \frac{\tau}{1 - q^{2n+2\alpha+2}} (u(\alpha))_{2n}, \quad n \geq 0.$$

Therefore

$$(u(\alpha))_{2n} = \frac{\tau^n}{(q^{2\alpha+2}; q^2)_n}, \quad n \geq 0.$$

The form $u(\alpha)$ is symmetrical, then $(u(\alpha))_{2n+1} = 0, n \geq 0$. Hence the desired results. \square

Proposition 4.3. *When $0 < q < 1, \alpha = -n, n \geq 0$, the form $u(\alpha)$ possesses the following discrete representation:*

$$(4.9) \quad u(\alpha) = \frac{1}{2(q^{2\alpha+2}; q^2)_\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} q^{2k(\alpha+1)} \frac{(-1)^k q^{k(k-1)}}{(q^2; q^2)_k} (\delta_{-\xi q^k} + \delta_{\xi q^k}),$$

with

$$(4.10) \quad \xi = \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} q^{\alpha+1} \sqrt{1-q}.$$

Proof. On account of the Proposition 4.2 and the relation (4.3) we can deduce the following result:

$$(u(\alpha))_{2n} = \tau^n \frac{(q^{2\alpha+2} q^{2n}; q^2)_\infty}{(q^{2\alpha+2}; q^2)_\infty}, \quad n \geq 0.$$

By virtue of (4.4), the previous equation becomes

$$(\widehat{u}(\alpha))_{2n} = \frac{1}{(q^{2\alpha+2}; q^2)_\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} q^{2k(\alpha+1)} \frac{(-1)^k q^{k(k-1)}}{(q^2; q^2)_k} \tau^n q^{2n}, \quad n \geq 0.$$

From (4.8), we get $\tau^n = \xi^{2n}$. Then, the last equation becomes

$$(\widehat{u}(\alpha))_{2n} = \frac{1}{(q^{2\alpha+2}; q^2)_\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} q^{2k(\alpha+1)} \frac{(-1)^k q^{k(k-1)}}{(q^2; q^2)_k} (\xi q)^{2n}, \quad n \geq 0.$$

On account of lemma 4.1, we get (4.9). \square

Acknowledgements. I would like to express my deepest gratitude to the referees for their valuable suggestions pertaining to both the formulations and bibliography.

REFERENCES

1. J. ALAYA, P. MARONI: *Symmetric Laguerre-Hahn forms of class $s = 1$* . Integral Transform. Spec. Funct., **4** (4) (1996), 301–320.
2. R. ÁLVAREZ-NODARSE, M. K. ATAKISHIYEVA, N. M. ATAKISHIYEV: *A q -extension of the generalized Hermite polynomials with the continuous orthogonality property on \mathbb{R}* . Int. J. Pure Appl. Math., **10** (3) (2004), 335–347.
3. J. C. BERG, M. E. H. ISMAIL: *q -Hermite polynomials and classical orthogonal polynomials*. Canad. J. Math., **48** (1) (1996), 43–63.
4. T. S. CHIHARA: *An introduction to Orthogonal polynomials*. Gordon and Breach, New York, 1978.
5. G. GASPER, M. RAHMAN: *Basic Hypergeometric Series*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
6. W. HAHN: *Über Orthogonalpolynome, die q -Differenzgleichungen genügen*. Math. Nachr., **2** (1949), 4–34.
7. M. E. H. ISMAIL, M. RAHMAN: *The q -Laguerre polynomials and related moment problems*. J. Math. Anal. Appl., **218** (1) (1998), 155–174.
8. L. KHERIJI, P. MARONI: *The H_q -classical orthogonal polynomials*. Acta. Appl. Math., **71** (1) (2002), 49–115.
9. L. KHERIJI: *An introduction to the H_q -semiclassical orthogonal polynomials*. Methods Appl. Anal., **10** (3) (2003), 387–411.
10. R. KOEKOEK: *On q -analogues of generalizations of the Laguerre polynomials*. In *Orthogonal polynomials and their Applications*, Vol. 9, IMACS Ann. Comput. Appl. Math., 9, (C. Brezinski, L. Gori, A. Ronveaux, Eds.) J. C. Baltzer, AG **9** (1991), 315–320.
11. R. KOEKOEK, R. F. SWARTTOW: *The Askey-scheme of hypergeometric orthogonal polynomials and its q -analogue*. Report 98-17, TU Delft, 1998.
12. P. MARONI, M. MEJRI: *The $I_{(q,\omega)}$ classical orthogonal polynomials*. Appl. Numer. Math., **43** (4) (2002), 423–458.
13. J. C. MEDEM, R. ÁLVAREZ-NODARSE, F. MARCELLAN: *On q -polynomials: a distributional study*. J. Comput. Appl. Math., **135** (2) (2001), 157–196.
14. D. S. MOAK: *The q -analogue of the Laguerre polynomials*. J. Math. Anal. Appl., **81** (1) (1981), 20–47.

Institut Supérieur des Sciences Appliquées
et de Technologie,
Rue Omar Ibn El Kattab 6072 Gabes,
Tunisia
E-mail: manoubi.mejri@issatgb.rnu.tn

(Received April 28, 2008)